SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Wednesday, 9:00 A.M. August 14, 2019

Hearing Room No. 3 Churchill Building, 10019 - 103 Avenue NW, Edmonton, AB

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD HEARING ROOM NO. 3

| | | INVESTMENTS INC.) |
|------------|---------------|---|
| | | 6029 – Gateway Boulevard NW Project No.: 315245131-001 |
| 10:30 A.M. | SDAB-D-19-124 | Construct four Apartment House buildings (tota of 725 Dwellings) with an underground parkade |
| | | (Building 4 has main floor Convenience Retail Store Uses) |
| WITHDRAWN | | 8311 - 93 Avenue NW Project No.: 298214168-002 |
| | | .0:30 A.M. SDAB-D-19-124 |

| ITEM I: 9:00 A | <u>A.M.</u> | FILE: SDAB-D-19-123 |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF T | HE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER |
| | APPELLANT: | |
| | | |
| | APPLICATION NO.: | 315245131-001 |
| | APPLICATION TO: | Install (1) Minor Digital Off-premises Freestanding Sign (PATTISON C.A.Y. INVESTMENTS INC.) |
| | DECISION OF THE | |
| | DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY: | Refused |
| | DECISION DATE: | July 22, 2019 |
| | DATE OF APPEAL: | July 23, 2019 |
| | MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION | |
| | OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: | 6029 – Gateway Boulevard NW |
| | LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | Plan 2657NY Blk 80 Lot A |
| | ZONE: | CB1 - Low Intensity Business Zone |
| | OVERLAY: | N/A |
| | STATUTORY PLAN: | N/A |
| | | |

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

We are solicitors for Pattison Outdoor Advertising, the Applicant. Our client's Development Permit Application has been refused. On behalf of our clients, we hereby appeal the refusal on the following grounds:

1. The proposed sign is not contrary to the Calgary Trail Land Use Study, but is compatible with it. The Calgary Trail land Use Study is not binding on the Board in any event.

2. In the context of Gateway Boulevard, the required variance in separation distance from what is referred to in the refusal as the "Lumican Sign" is appropriate. Moreover, a variance was granted by the Board in its approval of the Lumican Sign, so the tests for the variance will have already been met.

3. The proposed sign was approved by the Board while the Fascia On-premises Sign referenced in the refusal was in place. Subsequently, the Board approved the Fascia Major Digital Sign referenced in the refusal while the subject sign was in place. The signs existed together without complaint for many years.

4. Such further and other reasons as may be presented at the hearing of this appeal.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

(a.1) must comply with the land use policies;

Hearing Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2019

- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,
 - and
 - (ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 330.3(42), Minor Digital Off-premises Signs is a Discretionary Use in the (CB1) Low Intensity Business Zone.

Under section 7.9(6), **Minor Digital Off-premises Signs** means "a Freestanding or Fascia Sign that contains Digital Copy, is a Permanent Sign, displays Off-premises Advertising, and does not include moving effects, message transition effects, video images, or animation."

Under section 6.2, Freestanding Signs means:

a Sign supported independently of a building.



Under section 6.2, Off-Premise Signs means:

any Sign displaying Copy that directs attention to a business, activity, product, service or entertainment that cannot be considered as the principal products sold nor a principal business, activity, service or entertainment provided on the premises or Site where the Sign is displayed.

Section 330.4(10) states "Signs shall comply with the regulations found in <u>Schedule</u> <u>59F</u>."

Section 330.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(CB1) Low Intensity Business Zone** is:

to provide for low intensity commercial, office and service uses located along arterial roadways that border residential areas. Development shall be sensitive and in scale with existing development along the commercial street and any surrounding residential neighbourhood.

Calgary Trail Land Use Study

The City of Edmonton Charter, 2018 Regulation, Alta Reg 39/2018 states the following:

(31) In section 616 of the Act,

- (e) clause (dd) is to be read as follows:
 - (dd) "statutory plan" means
 - (i) an intermunicipal development plan,
 - (ii) a municipal development plan,
 - (iii) an area structure plan,
 - (iv) an area redevelopment plan, and

(v) an additional statutory plan under section 635.1

adopted by the City under Division 4;

Development Officer's Determination

1. The proposed sign is located within the Calgary Trail Land Use Study. Section 3.4(b)(ii) of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study states that greater attention shall be given to improving the location, siting, signage comprehendibility and design of signage in the corridor by discouraging the use of portable signs and free-standing billboards (billboards are considered Off-premises signs).

The proposed Minor Digital Off-premises Sign is contrary to Section 3.4(b)(ii) of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study. [unedited]

Schedule 59F - Separation Distance

Schedule 59F.3(6)(e) states:

proposed Sign locations shall be separated from Signs with Digital Copy greater than 8.0 m^2 or Off-premises Signs as follows:

| Proposed Sign Area | Minimum separation distance from |
|---|---|
| | Signs with Digital Copy greater than |
| | 8.0 m^2 or Off-premises Signs |
| Greater than 8.0 m^2 to less than | <u>100m</u> |
| 20 m^2 | |
| 20 m^2 to 40 m^2 | <u>200m</u> |
| Greater than 40 m^2 | <u>300m</u> |

The separation shall be applied from the location of the larger Offpremises Sign or Sign with Digital Copy.

Development Officer's Determination

2. Proposed Sign locations shall be separated from Digital Signs greater than 8.0m2 or Off-premises Signs greater than 20 m2 by 200 m. The separation shall be applied from the larger Off-premises Sign or Digital Sign location.

Area of Existing PATTISON Sign (DP 117242-001): 27 m2 Location: 6103 - GATEWAY BOULEVARD NW Required Separation Distance: 200 m Proposed Separation Distance: 158 m Deficient by: 42 m

Area of Existing LUMICAN Sign (DP 239130502-001): 19.3 m2

Hearing Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2019

Location: 6024 - GATEWAY BOULEVARD NW Required Separation Distance: 100 m Proposed Separation Distance: 65 m Deficient by: 35 m [unedited]

Section 59 – General (Sign) Provisions

Section 59.2(6) states:

For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone.

Development Officer's Determination

3. For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone.(Reference Section 59.2(6))

The proposed Freestanding Minor Digital Sign, in addition to the Fascia Major Digital Sign and Fascia On-premises Sign overshadows the building front and adversely impacts the architectural character of building contrary to section 59.2(6). [unedited]

| Application Number | Description | Decision |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| SDAB-D-15-306 | To insall (1) Fascia Major | December 24, 2015; The |
| | Digital On-Premises Sign | appeal is ALLOWED and the |
| | (PCwhoop Electronics / | decision of the Development |
| | LED Pros) | Authority is REVOKED. The |
| | | development is GRANTED as |
| | | applied for to the |
| | | Development Authority. |
| SDAB-D-14-182 | Install (1) Minor Digital | July 25, 2014; The appeal be |
| | Off-premises Sign (Plaza | ALLOWED and the |
| | 103) | DEVELOPMENT |
| | | GRANTED subject to |
| | | conditions. |

Previous Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Decisions

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

| Edimonton Appli | | Project Number: 315245131-00 Application Date: MAY 30, 201 Printed: July 22, 2019 at 1:14 PM Page: 1 of |
|--|--|--|
| Sign C | ombo Permit | |
| This document is a Development Permit Decision for the develop | ment application described below. | |
| Applicant PATTISON OUTDOOR ADVERTISING Care of: MARCUS CANEY 10707 - 178 STREET EDMONTON, ALBERTA CANADA TSS 1J6 | Property Address(es) and Leg 6029 - GATEWAY BOULI Plan 2657NY Blk 80 I | EVARD NW |
| Scope of Application To install (1) Minor Digital Off-premises Freestanding Sign Permit Details | PATTISON C.A.Y. INVESTMENTS | S INC.) |
| ASA Sticker No./Name of Engineer: Construction Value: 100000 | Class of Permit: Expiry Date: | |
| Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Fascia On-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof On-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 1 Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 0 | Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Freestanding On-premises Sign: 0 Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0 Projecting On-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 Comprehensive Sign Design: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 | |
| I/We certify that the above noted details are correct. Applicant signature: | | |
| Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Jul 22, 2019 Development Authority: MER | CIER, KELSEY | |
| | | |
| THIS IS | NOT A PERMIT | |

| dmonton | | | | Project Numb Application Date | er: 315245131-00 MAY 30, 201 |
|--|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Application | for | Printed: Page: | July 22, 2019 at 1:14 P. 2 of |
| - | | | | 1 «Be: | 20. |
| | Si | gn Combo I | Permit | | |
| Reason for Refusal | | | | | |
| The proposed sign is lo states that greater attention corridor by discouraging t | n shall be given to i | mproving the location | siting, signage com | prehendibility and de | sign of signage in the |
| The proposed Minor Digit | tal Off-premises Sig | n is contrary to Sectio | n 3.4(b)(ii) of the Ca | algary Trail Land Use | Study. |
| 2. Proposed Sign location 200 m. The separation sha | - | | | | eater than 20 m2 by |
| Area of Existing PATTIS | ON Sign (DP 11724 | 2-001): 27 m2 | | | |
| Location: 6103 - GATEW | | NW | | | |
| Required Separation Dista Proposed Separation Dista | | | | | |
| Deficient by: 42 m | | | | | |
| Area of Existing LUMICA | N Sign (DP 23913 | 0502-001): 19.3 m2 | | | |
| Location: 6024 - GATEW | - · · | · · | | | |
| Required Separation Dista | | | | | |
| Proposed Separation Dista Deficient by: 35 m | nce: 65 m | | | | |
| Denetent by: 55 m | | | | | |
| adversely impact the amer | nities or character of | f the Zone (Reference | Section 59 2(6)) | | |
| adversely impact the amer The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building | g Minor Digital Sig | n, in addition to the Fa | ascia Major Digital S | | |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building | g Minor Digital Sig | n, in addition to the Fa | ascia Major Digital S | | |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely | n, in addition to the Fa impacts the architecti | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil | ding contrary to secti | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely tt of appeal within 2 | n, in addition to the Fa impacts the architectu 1 days after the date o | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil | ding contrary to secti | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely tt of appeal within 2 | n, in addition to the Fa impacts the architectu 1 days after the date o | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil | ding contrary to secti | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely tt of appeal within 2 | n, in addition to the Fa impacts the architectu 1 days after the date o t. | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil n which the decision | ding contrary to secti | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely It of appeal within 2 pal Government Ac | n, in addition to the Fa impacts the architectu 1 days after the date o | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil | ding contrary to secti | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely It of appeal within 2 pal Government Ac Fee Amount | n, in addition to the Faimpacts the architectu 1 days after the date o t. Amount Paid | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil n which the decision Receipt # | ding contrary to section is made, as outlined Date Paid | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici es | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely it of appeal within 2 pal Government Ac Fee Amount \$1,057.00 \$42.28 \$458.00 | n, in addition to the Faimpacts the architectu 1 days after the date o t. Amount Paid \$1,057.00 | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil n which the decision Receipt # 05893490 | ding contrary to section is made, as outlined Date Paid Jun 03, 2019 | on 59.2(6). |
| The proposed Freestandin overshadows the building Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici es Sign Building Permit Fee Safety Codes Fee | g Minor Digital Sig front and adversely it of appeal within 2 pal Government Ac Fee Amount \$1,057.00 \$42.28 | n, in addition to the Faimpacts the architectu 1 days after the date o t. Amount Paid \$1,057.00 \$42.28 | ascia Major Digital S aral character of buil n which the decision Receipt # 05893490 05893490 | ding contrary to section is made, as outlined Date Paid Jun 03, 2019 Jun 03, 2019 | on 59.2(6). |



Site Location

File: SDAB-D-19-123

Ν

12

ITEM II: 10:30 A.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-19-124

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER THE COMMUNITY LEAGUE

APPELLANT:

APPLICATION NO.:

APPLICATION TO:

DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AFF TOON DATE: June 24, 2019 July 22, 2019 Tuly 2, 2019 t

RESPONDENT:

MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

ZONE:

OVERLAY:

STATUTORY PLAN:

298214168-002

Construct 4 Apartment House buildings (total of 725 Dwellings) with an underground parkade. Building 4 has main floor Convenience Retail Store Uses.

Approved with conditions

July 2, 2019 through July 23, 2019

8311 - 93 Avenue NW

Plan 1820389 Blk 26 Lot 23

DC2 - Site Specific Development Control Provision

N/A

N/A