SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Thursday, 9:00 A.M. February 27, 2020

Hearing Room No. 2 Churchill Building, 10019 - 103 Avenue NW, Edmonton, AB

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD HEARING ROOM NO. 2

| Ι | 9:00 A.M. | SDAB-D-20-023 | To install (1) Roof mounted Minor Digital Off premises Sign (3 metres by 6.1 metres facing NE) (PATTISON KAWA CORPORATION) |
|-----------|------------|---------------|--|
| | | | 10103 - 107 Avenue NW Project No.: 348770384-001 |
| <u>T0</u> | BE RAISED | | |
| Π | 10:30 A.M. | SDAB-D-19-142 | To install a Freestanding Minor Digital On- premises Off-premises Sign (4.9 metres by 6.1 metres, including digital panel 3 metres by 6.1 metres facing North) (PATTISON - TOOR HOLDINGS INC.) |
| | | | 9440 - 149 Street NW Project No.: 311645449-001 |
| III | 1:30 P.M. | SDAB-D-20-024 | To construct an 8 Dwellings of Multi-Unit Housing |
| | | | 2523 - Price Way SW, 2521 - Price Way SW, 2519 - Price Way SW, 2517 - Price Way SW Project No.: 342079736-002 |
| | NOTE: | | 2519 - Price Way SW, 2517 - Price Wa |

ITEM I: 9:00 A.M.

| APPELLANT: | |
|---|---|
| APPLICATION NO.: | 348770384-001 |
| APPLICATION TO: | Install (1) Roof mounted Minor Dig Off-premises Sign (3 metres by 6.1met facing NE) (PATTISON KAW CORPORATION) |
| DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY: | Refused |
| DECISION DATE: | January 17, 2020 |
| DATE OF APPEAL: | January 29, 2020 |
| MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: | 10103 - 107 Avenue NW |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | Plan B3 Blk 1 Lot 253 |
| ZONE: | CB2 - General Business Zone |
| OVERLAY: | Main Streets Overlay |
| STATUTORY PLAN: | Central McDougall/Queen Mary Park Area Redevelopment Plan |

FILE: SDAB-D-20-023

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

1. Minor Digital Off-premises Signs and Roof Off-Premises Signs are Discretionary Uses in the CB-2 Zone.

2. The proposed application represents a request to renew the Permit for a digital sign that has existed in the present location for several years without complaint or incident.

3. The question of variances required relative to the Reasons for Refusal referenced in the Development Officer's decision have all been addressed in previous SDAB hearings.

4. The subject sign has been located in accordance with an engineered study approved by the City's Transportation Department.

5. The subject location is purely commercial in nature, and there is no risk that residential properties would be affected.

6. Such further and other reasons as may be presented at the hearing of this appeal.

General Matters

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

- ...
- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;

- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - • •
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,
 - and
 - (ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 340.3(40), Minor Digital Off-premises Signs is a Discretionary Use in the (CB2) General Business Zone.

Under section 340.3(43), **Roof Off-premises Signs** is a **Discretionary Use** in the **(CB2) General Business Zone**.

Under section 7.9(6), Minor Digital Off-premises Signs means:

a Freestanding or Fascia Sign that contains Digital Copy, is a Permanent Sign, displays off-premises Advertising, and does not include moving effects, message transition effects, video images, or animation.

Under section 7.9(11), Roof Off-premises Signs means:

a Roof Sign, which is a Permanent Sign, and displays Off-premises Advertising.

Under section 6.2, Off-Premise Sign means:

any Sign displaying Copy that directs attention to a business, activity, product, service or entertainment that cannot be considered as the principal products sold nor a principal business, activity, service or entertainment provided on the premises or Site where the Sign is displayed.

Under section 6.2, Roof Sign means:

A Sign erected upon, against, or above a roof, or on top of or above, the parapet of a building.

Section 819.5(2) provides the following with respect to Development Regulations for Signs:

Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with <u>Schedule 59E</u> of this Bylaw, except that:

- a. the maximum Height of a Freestanding Sign shall be $\underline{6.0 \text{ m}}$, as measured from Grade to the top of the Freestanding Sign.
- b. Projecting Signs shall be located within <u>1.0 m</u> of each individual business entrance of the building facing a public roadway other than a Lane.
- c. Notwithstanding Section 59E.2(2)(e), one additional projecting sign may be permitted per building for the purpose of advertising businesses that do not have access at ground level.
- d. Where regulations for a Sign Use do not appear within <u>Schedule</u> <u>59E</u>, the Schedule applicable to the underlying Zone shall apply.

Section 340.1 states that the General Purpose of the (CB2) General Business Zone is:

To provide for businesses that require large Sites and a location with good visibility and accessibility along, or adjacent to, major public roadways.

Section 819.1 states that the General Purpose of the Main Streets Overlay is:

to encourage and strengthen the pedestrian-oriented character of Edmonton's main street commercial areas that are located in proximity to residential and transit-oriented areas, by providing visual interest, transparent storefront displays, and amenities for pedestrians.

Section 59 – General Sign Provisions

Section 59.2(15) states:

Major Digital Signs, Minor Digital On-premises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Signs shall not be Roof Signs, Projecting Signs or Temporary Signs.

Development Officer's Determination

 Major Digital Signs, Minor Digital On-premises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs shall not be Roof Signs, Projecting Signs or Temporary Signs. (Reference Section 59.2(15) General Provisions).

The proposed sign is a Minor Digital Off-premises Sign that is a Roof Sign.

Schedule 59E

Schedule 59E.3(5) states the following with respect to Minor Digital Off-premises Signs:

- a. the maximum Height shall be 8.0 m;
 - •••
- d. proposed Sign locations shall be separated from Signs with Digital Copy greater than <u>8.0 m²</u> or Off-premises Signs as follows:

| Proposed Sign Area | Minimum separation distance from Signs with Digital Copy greater than <u>8.0 m²</u> or Off- premises Signs |
|--|--|
| Greater than 8.0 m^2 to less than 20 m^2 | <u>100 m</u> |
| 20 m^2 to 40 m^2 | <u>200 m</u> |
| Greater than 40 m^2 | <u>300 m</u> |

The separation shall be applied from the location of the larger Offpremises Sign or Sign with Digital Copy.

•••

Development Officer's Determination

2) Proposed Sign location shall be separated from Signs containing Digital Copy greater than 8.0m2 or Off-premises Signs by 100m. The separation shall be applied from the larger Off-premises Sign or Digital Sign location. (Reference Section 59E.3(5)(d)).

Area of Existing Freestanding Off-premises Sign (DP 218838352-001): 18.6 m2

Location: 10720-101 Street NW Required Separation Distance: 100 m Proposed Separation Distance: 91.4 m Deficient by: 8.6 m

The proposed sign is deficient in separation distance contrary to Section 59E.3(5)(d). [unedited]

3) Proposed Sign location shall be separated from Signs containing Digital Copy greater than 8.0m2 or Off-premises Signs, greater than 20m2 by 200m. The separation shall be applied from the larger Off-premises Sign or Digital Sign location.

Area of Existing Minor Digital Off-premises Sign (DP 180163072-002): 27 m2

Location: 10730-99 Street NW Required Separation Distance: 200 m Proposed Separation Distance: 146 m Deficient by: 54 m

The proposed sign is deficient in separation distance contrary to Section 59E.3(5)(d).

4) Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs and Minor Digital Offpremises Signs shall be subject to the following regulations: the maximum Height shall be 8.0 m. (Reference Section 59E.3(5)(a)).

PROPOSED: Height from ground surface to top of sign: 10.5m EXCEEDS BY: 2.5m [unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

| | Project Number:348770384-0Application Date:DEC 02, 20Printed:January 30, 2020 at 9:24 JPage:1 c |
|--|--|
| S | ign Combo Permit |
| This document is a Development Permit Decision for the | e development application described below. |
| Applicant | Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s) 10103 - 107 AVENUE NW Plan B3 Blk 1 Lot 253 Location(s) of Work Building: 10103 - 107 AVENUE NW |
| | emises Sign (3m x 6.1m facing NE) (PATTISON KAWA CORPORATION). |
| Permit Details ASA Sticker No./Name of Engineer: Construction Value: 0 | Class of Permit: Expiry Date: |
| Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Fascia On-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof On-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 1 Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 0 | Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Freestanding On-premises Sign: 0 Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0 Projecting On-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 Comprehensive Sign Design: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 |
| I/We certify that the above noted details are correct. Applicant signature: Development Application Decision Refused | |
| Issue Date: Jan 17, 2020 Development Author | ity: NOORMAN, BRENDA |
| | |
| | THIS IS NOT A PERMIT |
| | |

| | L | Application | for | Project Number: 348770384-(Application Date: DEC 02, 7 Printed: January 30, 2020 at 9:24 Page: 2 |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Si | ign Combo I | Permit | |
| | | | | ligns, and Minor Digital On-premises Off ce Section 59.2(15) General Provisions). |
| The proposed sign is a Mi | nor Digital Off-pre | mises Sign that is a Ro | of Sign. | |
| 2) Proposed Sign location 100m. The separation shal | shall be separated i l be applied from t | from Signs containing ne larger Off-premises | Digital Copy greater Sign or Digital Sign | r than 8.0m2 or Off-premises Signs by location. (Reference Section 59E.3(5)(d) |
| Area of Existing Freestand Location: 10720-101 Stree Required Separation Dista Proposed Separation Dista Deficient by: 8.6 m | et NW nce: 100 m | Sign (DP 218838352-0 | 01): 18.6 m2 | |
| The proposed sign is defic | ient in separation d | listance contrary to Sec | etion 59E.3(5)(d). | |
| 3) Proposed Sign location than 20m2 by 200m. The | | | | r than 8.0m2 or Off-premises Signs, great or Digital Sign location. |
| Area of Existing Minor D Location: 10730-99 Street Required Separation Dista Proposed Separation Dista Deficient by: 54 m | NW nce: 200 m | Sign (DP 180163072-(| 002): 27 m2 | |
| The proposed sign is defic | ient in separation d | istance contrary to Sec | tion 59E.3(5)(d). | |
| 4) Minor Digital On-prem regulations: the maximum | | | | s shall be subject to the following |
| PROPOSED: Height from EXCEEDS BY: 2.5m | ground surface to | top of sign: 10.5m | | |
| Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici | t of appeal within 2 pal Government Ac | 1 days after the date o | n which the decisior | n is made, as outlined in Section 683 |
| ees | | 4 (D.) | D | D-4- D-11 |
| Sign Dev Appl Fee - Digital Signs Total GST Amount: | Fee Amount \$458.00 \$0.00 | Amount Paid \$458.00 | Receipt # 06310271 | Date Paid Dec 02, 2019 |
| Totals for Permit: | \$458.00 | \$4 58.00 | | |
| | | | | B |
| | | | | |
| | | THIS IS NOT A PE | RMIT | |



TO BE RAISED

ITEM II: 10:30 A.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-19-142

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

| APPELLANT: | |
|---|---|
| APPLICATION NO.: | 311645449-001 |
| APPLICATION TO: | Install a Freestanding Minor Digital On- premises Off-premises Sign (4.9m x 6.1m, incl digital panel 3m x 6.1m facing N) (PATTISON - TOOR HOLDINGS INC.) |
| DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY: | Refused |
| DECISION DATE: | July 31, 2019 |
| DATE OF APPEAL: | August 12, 2019 |
| MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: | 9440 - 149 Street NW |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | Plan 5710AF Blk 68 Lot 3 |
| ZONE: | (CB1) Low Intensity Business Zone |
| OVERLAY: | N/A |
| STATUTORY PLAN: | N/A |
| | |

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

We are solicitors for Pattison Outdoor Advertising, the Applicant in the above noted matter. Our clients' Development Permit Application has been refused. On behalf of our clients, we hereby appeal the refusal on the following grounds:

- 1. The proposed sign does not face the residential site to the south. Rather it faces the commercial intersection to the north.
- 2. The proposed sign has been re-located to accommodate concerns expressed by Transportation in relation to its initial location.

- 3. Our clients are proposing a landscape solution that will ensure the proposed sign is isolated from the property to the south.
- 4. Such further and other reasons as may be presented at the hearing of this appeal.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board made and passed the following motion on September 5, 2019:

"That the appeal hearing be scheduled for November 13 or 14, 2019 at the written request of Legal Counsel for the Appellant in agreement with the Development Officer."

The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board made and passed the following motion on November 13, 2019:

"That the appeal hearing be scheduled for Tuesday, December 10, 2019 at the written request of Legal Counsel for the Appellant and with agreement from the Development Officer."

The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board made and passed the following motion on November 27, 2019:

"That the appeal hearing be scheduled for February 27, 2020 at the written request of Legal Counsel for the Appellant and with agreement from the Development Officer."

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

...

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the *Edmonton Zoning Bylaw*:

Under section 330.3(44), Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs is a Discretionary Use in the (CB1) Low Intensity Business Zone.

Under section 7.9(3), Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs means:

a Freestanding or Fascia Sign that contains Digital Copy, is a Permanent Sign, displays On-premises Advertising and/or Off-premises Advertising, and does not include moving effects, message transition effects, video images, or animation.

Under section 6.2, Freestanding Signs means:

a Sign supported independently of a building.



Under section 6.2, Off-Premise Signs means:

any Sign displaying Copy that directs attention to a business, activity, product, service or entertainment that cannot be considered as the principal products sold nor a principal business, activity, service or entertainment provided on the premises or Site where the Sign is displayed.

Under section 6.2, **On-Premises Advertising** means "On-Premises Advertising means Copy that only directs attention to a business, activity, product, service, or entertainment produced, offered for sale, or obtainable on the Site where the Sign is displayed."

Section 330.4(10) states: "Signs shall comply with the regulations found in Schedule 59F."

Section 330.1 states that the General Purpose of the (CB1) Low Intensity Business Zone is:

to provide for low intensity commercial, office and service uses located along arterial roadways that border residential areas. Development shall be sensitive and in scale with existing development along the commercial street and any surrounding residential neighbourhood.

Setback

Section 330.4(4) states "A minimum Setback of 3.0 m shall be required where the Rear or Side Lot Line of the Site abuts the lot line of a Site in a Residential Zone."

Under section 6.1, **Setback** means "the distance that a development or a specified portion of it, must be set back from a property line. A Setback is not a Yard, Amenity Space, or Separation Space."

Development Officer's Determination

1) A minimum Setback of 3.0 m shall be required where the Rear or Side Lot Line of the Site abuts the lot line of a Site in a Residential Zone (Reference Section 330.4(4))

PROPOSED: 1.27 m from abutting RA7 Zone to the south DEFICIENT BY: 1.7 m [unedited]

Section 59 – General (Sign) Provisions

Section 59.2(6) states:

For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone.

Section 59.2(7) states:

For all Sign Applications for Major Digital Sign, Minor Digital Onpremises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs, the Development Officer shall review the application in context with the surrounding development, such as (but not limited to): the architectural theme of the area; any historic designations; the requirements of any Statutory Plan; any streetscape improvements; proximity to residential development; driver decision points; and traffic conflict points. The Development Officer may require application revisions to mitigate the impact of a proposed Sign, and may refuse a permit that adversely impacts the built environment.

Development Officer's Determination

2) For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone. (Reference Section 59.2(6)).

The proposed sign is insensitive, and not in scale with the land use and surrounding development. The large scale of the sign dominates the site, and is located directly in front of an apartment building (to the south) adversely impacting the amenities and character of the Zone, by significantly obstructing the sight lines from the windows of the building. (Reference Section 59.2(6) and 330.1)).

3) For all Sign Applications for Major Digital Sign, Minor Digital Onpremises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs, the Development Officer shall review the application in context with the surrounding development, such as (but not limited to): the architectural theme of the area; any historic designations; the requirements of any Statutory Plan; any streetscape improvements; proximity to residential development; driver decision points; and traffic conflict points. The Development Officer may require application revisions to mitigate the impact of a proposed Sign, and may refuse a permit that adversely impacts the built environment. (Reference Section 59.2(7)).

The proposed sign is in close proximity to the residential apartment building to the south. In the opinion of the Development Officer, the sign will adversely impact the surrounding built environment by obstructing the light and sight lines from multiple residential units on the first, second and third floors of the neighboring apartment building located to the south.

The applicant was advised that the sign was insensitive to neighboring residential uses. However, there have been no revisions made to the scale, design, height, or location of the the proposed Minor Digital On Premises/Off Premises sign to mitigate the negative impacts to the adjacent residential use, or surrounding developments. [unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

| | Project Number: 311645449-001 Application Date: APR 23, 201 Printed: August 19, 2019 at 1:42 PM |
|---|--|
| А | pplication for Page: 1 of |
| | n Combo Permit |
| This document is a Development Permit Decision for the | |
| Applicant | Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s) |
| | 9440 - 149 STREET NW |
| | Plan 5710AF Blk 68 Lot 3 |
| | |
| | Location(s) of Work |
| | Suite: 9440 - 149 STREET NW |
| | Entryway: 9440 - 149 STREET NW |
| | Building: 9440 - 149 STREET NW |
| To install a Freestanding Minor Digital On-premises (PATTISON - TOOR HOLDINGS INC.). Permit Details | s Off-premises Sign (4.9m x 6.1m, incl digital panel 3m x 6.1m facing N) |
| ASA Sticker No News of Engineer | Class of Permit: |
| ASA Sticker No./Name of Engineer: Construction Value: 100000 | Expiry Date: |
| Construction Value. 100000 | Expiry Date. |
| Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0 | Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 |
| Fascia On-premises Sign: 0 | Freestanding On-premises Sign: 0 |
| Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 | Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0 |
| Roof On-premises Sign: 0 | Projecting On-premises Sign: 0 |
| Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0 | Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 |
| Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 | Comprehensive Sign Design: 0 |
| Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 1 | Major Digital Sign: 0 |
| I/We certify that the above noted details are correct. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Applicant signature: | |
| Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Jul 31, 2019 Development Authorit | y:NOORMAN, BRENDA |
| 1 | THIS IS NOT A PERMIT |

| | | A | 6 | Application Date: Printed: | r: 311645449-001 APR 23, 2019 August 19, 2019 at 1:42 PM |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | A | Application | for | Page: | 2 of 2 |
| | Si | ign Combo l | Permit | | |
| Reason for Refusal 1) A minimum Setback of Residential Zone (Referen | | | Side Lot Line of the | e Site abuts the lot line | of a Site in a |
| PROPOSED: 1.27 m from DEFICIENT BY: 1.7 m | abutting RA7 Zon | e to the south | | | |
| For all Sign Application the land use characteristic adversely impact the amer | s of surrounding de | velopment. The Devel | opment Officer shall | | |
| The proposed sign is inser dominates the site, and is character of the Zone, by s 330.1)). | located directly in f | ront of an apartment b | uilding (to the south) |) adversely impacting t | the amenities and |
| 3) For all Sign Application Digital On-premises Off-p development, such as (but Statutory Plan; any streets points. The Development permit that adversely impa | oremises Signs, the not limited to): the cape improvements Officer may requir | Development Officer s architectural theme of s; proximity to resident e application revisions | hall review the appl the area; any historial development; dri to mitigate the impa | ication in context with ic designations; the req ver decision points; an | the surrounding uirements of any d traffic conflict |
| The proposed sign is in clu Officer, the sign will adve residential units on the firs The applicant was advised made to the scale, design, negative impacts to the ad | rsely impact the sur st, second and third l that the sign was i height, or location | rrounding built enviror floors of the neighbor nsensitive to neighbor of the the proposed Ma | ment by obstructing ing apartment buildi ng residential uses. I nor Digital On Prem | the light and sight line ng located to the south However, there have be | es from multiple |
| Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the righ through 689 of the Munici | | | n which the decision | is made, as outlined in | n Section 683 |
| Fees | Fee Amount | Amount Daid | Bassint # | Det. Deid | |
| Sign Building Permit Fee | \$1,057.00 | Amount Paid \$1,057.00 | Receipt # 05795713 | Date Paid Apr 23, 2019 | |
| Sign Dev Appl Fee - Digital Signs | \$458.00 | \$458.00 | 05795713 | Apr 23, 2019 | |
| Safety Codes Fee | \$42.28 | \$42.28 | 05795713 | Apr 23, 2019 | |
| Total GST Amount: | \$0.00 | | | | |
| Totals for Permit: | \$1,557.28 | \$1,557.28 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



ITEM III: 1:30 P.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-20-024

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER BY AN ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER

APPELLANT:

APPLICATION NO .:

APPLICATION TO:

DATE OF APPEAL:

NOTIFICATION PERIOD:

DECISION OF THE **DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:**

DECISION DATE:

342079736-002

Construct an 8 Dwellings of Multi-Unit Housing

Approved with Conditions

January 6, 2020

February 3, 2020

January 14, 2020 through February 4, 2020

RESPONDENT:

MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: 2523 - Price Way SW, 2521 - Price Way SW, 2519 - Price Way SW, 2517 - Price Way SW LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 36, Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 37. Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 38, Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 39 ZONE: HVRH - Heritage Valley Row Housing Zone N/A

OVERLAY:

STATUTORY PLAN:

Paisley Neighbourhood Area Structure Plan

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

> We would like to appeal to the proposal of Brookfield or Five Star Developers of building an 8 unit building right next to our house. (2525 Price

Way SW). We have disputed this plan in front of the City council back in May 2018, when the initial plan was to build a 6 unit stacked town homes building.

Reasons of appeal back in 2018:

- In bad faith we were sold our house being told and shown on a plan (we have pictures of this plan) that this will be a family oriented neighbourhood with a mix of row town homes/ row duplexes and single family homes. This no longer exist....and our house will drop in value since the esthetic look of a house next to a stacked home type of building is not appealing and is not that of a "family homes type of street" view. We would have never bought this house if we were told what the plans were... Brookfield had already applied for the rezoning permits at the time we purchase our house.
- 2) lack of privacy: balconies of these units would be directly overseeing it...
- 3) Foot/car traffic in between our house and these units.
- 4) Losing the chance of splitting the cost of building a fence on the left side of our house with the town home owner+ having to wait to build the fence until Brookfield decides what to do with the lot.
- 5) Unfinished landscaping at the left side of our house (on our property) due to these plans being in the limbo. Unappealing and devaluing our house.
- 6) overcrowded street parking due to the lack of parking space for these units (these units will have single car garages and it is a fact that most residents/families nowadays have at least 2 cars.

After an open house was held by Brookfield Developers on June 21st 2018 for the residents of Price Way SW and shown how the units would look like and where these would be built, we were informed by Bridgette Beaulieu (Brookfield Area Sales Manager) that Brookfield will no longer be building multi stacked homes next to our house as it would be too expensive...and they will attempt to build these next to their show homes down the street and see how these sell. When asked what will become of the lot next to our house, we were told that the original planned rowed town homes (4) will be built and that we and our neighbours will be informed of it.

At the end of the day, Brookfield has been untruthful to us....never offered to do compensate or fix any of these issues and we are asked to empathise that with the fact that the real estate market is bad and they need to find another way to finish the project on our street, as they're town homes are not selling well. How about empathise with the fact that as first time home buyers and young family, we bought this home in good faith with what we were told...and we paid and are paying for it with very hard worked money. We wanted our son to be able to safely enjoy our backyard and neighbourhood and now...this is NOT possible because of endless construction on our street...lack of fencing around the lot/ overcrowded street with cars etc.

We are not the only ones who were sold a fake dream by Brookfield.

General Matters

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,

or

(ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or

(b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 981.3(c), Multi-unit Housing is a Permitted Use in the (HVRH) Heritage Valley Row Housing Zone.

Under section 7.2(4), Multi-unit Housing means:

Development that consists of three or more principal Dwellings arranged in any configuration and in any number of buildings. This Use does not include Blatchford Townhousing or Blatchford Stacked Row Housing.

Section 981.1 states that the General Purpose of the (HVRH) Heritage Valley Row Housing Zone is:

To provide for medium density housing with the opportunity for Row Housing, Multi-Unit Housing, and Paisley Laneway Housing, in accordance with the design objectives in the Paisley Neighbourhood Area Structure Plan.

Variance - Plant Size

Section 55.3(1)(c)(iii) states:

1. Unless otherwise specified in this Bylaw, Landscaping shall be provided in accordance with the following:

• • •

(c) new trees and shrubs shall be provided on the following basis:

...

(iii) approximately 75% of required coniferous trees shall be a minimum of 2.5 m in Height and approximately 25% shall be a minumum of 3.5 m in Height;

•••

Development Officer's Determination

Plant size - 2 of the proposed coniferous trees are 2.5m in height instead of 3.5m in Height (section 55.3(1)(c)(iii)).

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

| | Project Number: 342079736-00 Application Date: SEP 25, 201 Printed: February 4, 2020 at 8:54 AM Page: 1 of |
|--|--|
| Maj | or Development Permit |
| This document is a record of a Development Permit a the limitations and conditions of this permit, of the E | application, and a record of the decision for the undertaking described below, subject to dmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800 as amended. |
| Applicant | Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s) |
| | 2523 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 36 |
| | 2521 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 37 |
| | 2519 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 38 |
| | 2517 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Plan 1720738 Blk 11 Lot 39 |
| | Specific Address(es) |
| | Entryway: 2517 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2519 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2521 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2523 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2FL, 2517 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2FL, 2519 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2FL, 2521 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Entryway: 2FL, 2523 - PRICE WAY SW |
| | Building: 2517 - PRICE WAY SW |
| Scope of Permit | |
| To construct an 8 Dwellings of Multi-Unit Hou | sing |
| Permit Details | |
| Class of Permit: Class B | Contact Person: |
| Gross Floor Area (sq.m.): 295.06 | Lot Grading Needed?: Y |
| New Sewer Service Required: Y | NumberOfMainFloorDwellings: 4 |
| Site Area (sq. m.): 920.02 | Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: (none) |
| I/We certify that the above noted details are correct. | |
| Applicant signature: | |
| Development Permit Decision | |
| Approved | |
| Issue Date: Jan 06, 2020 Development Aut | hority:BAUER, KERRY |
| Subject to the Following Conditions This Development Permit is NOT valid un | til the Notification Period expires in accordance to Section 21(Reference Section 17.1). |
| This Development Permit authorizes the pr | roposed development of 8 Dwellings of Multi-unit Housing. |
| The Site shall be developed in accordance | with the stamped, signed, and conditionally approved drawings. |
| | |
| | |





| | | | | Application D Printed: Page: | mber: 342079736-0 Date: SEP 25, 2 February 4, 2020 at 8:54 |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | Majo | r Developr | nent Permit | | |
| Notice Period Begins: Jan | 14, 2020 | Ends: Feb 04, 20 | 20 | | |
| ees | | | | | |
| | Fee Amount | Amount Paid | Receipt # | Date Paid | |
| Major Dev. Application Fee | \$848.00 | \$848.00 | 883679024252001 | Oct 02, 2019 | |
| Dev. Application Fee # of dwelling units | \$304.00 | \$304.00 | 883679024252001 | Oct 02, 2019 | |
| Development Permit Inspection Fee | \$518.00 | \$518.00 | 06384807 | Jan 21, 2020 | |
| Sanitary Sewer Trunk Fund 2012+ | \$9,488.00 | \$9,488.00 | 06384807 | Jan 21, 2020 | |
| Lot Grading Fee | \$468.00 | \$468.00 | 06384807 | Jan 21, 2020 | |
| Total GST Amount: | \$0.00 | | | | |
| Totals for Permit: | \$11,626.00 | \$11,626.00 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

