SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Wednesday, 9:00 A.M. June 26, 2019

Hearing Room No. 2 Churchill Building, 10019 - 103 Avenue NW, Edmonton, AB

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD HEARING ROOM NO. 2

	NOTE:		nted, all references to "Section numbers" refer to the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.
			4333 - 50 Street NW Project No.: 306082440-001
IV	1:30 P.M.	SDAB-D-19-080	Change the use from a Specialty Food Store (Starbucks) to a Cannabis Retail Sales and construct interior alterations
			10526 - 85 Avenue NW Project No.: 302525621-001
III	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-095	Construct a Single Detached House with Unenclosed Front Porch, rear uncovered deck (5.94m x 3.05m), rear balcony, fireplace, and to develop a Secondary Suite in the Basement
			10620 - 69 Street NW Project No.: 257883235-010
II	9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-078	Leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height).
			10622 - 69 Street NW Project No.: 257884965-010
I	9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-079	Leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height).

ITEM I / II: 9:00 A.M. FILE: SDAB-D-19-078 / 079

TO BE RAISED

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

257883235-010

APPLICATION NO.:

257884965-010 **APPLICATION TO:** Leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height). **DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:** Refused **DECISION DATE:** April 15, 2019 DATE OF APPEAL: May 3, 2019 MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: 10620 - 69 Street NW 10622 - 69 Street NW LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Plan 1720067 Blk 46 Lot 48 Plan 1720067 Blk 46 Lot 47 ZONE: (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone **OVERLAY:** Mature Neighbourhood Overlay STATUTORY PLAN: N/A

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

<u>16020 - 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-078</u>

Height is 1 ft higher than initial approved DP.

We are aware that objections have been raised.

We tried getting support from neighbors, however their mindset is that they are strongly against "skinnies" and will not support it. Lots of neighbors have sign regards to NO LOT SPLIT plus restricted covenant. Further, Community league does not wish to get involved.

Another reason from immediate neighbors is that this new house is blocking Sunlight to their houses----Our observation is that there is already tons of mature trees in close proximity to our project-Which means this has been the norm even prior to the project.

Strongly recommend the Appeals board team to do a site visit to check if the 1 ft height looks too obvious or an eye soar.

Our Surveyor has suggested that we can raise grades by 6 inches if needed, however this will still mean, we will be out by 0.6 inches

Our best hope is that Appeal's Board team will understand that this is a honest, plus 1st and last mistake from our Company and come up with a reasonable decision.

I will upload photos of neighbors houses with trees blocking Sun for review.

Thanks

16022 - 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-079

Height issue is an honest mistake on our part. House is already built, little late to do the changes and will be too expensive to do the changes.

Height is 1.2 ft higher than initial approved DP.

We are aware that objections have been raised.

We tried getting support from neighbors, however their mindset is that they are strongly against "skinnies" and will not support it. Lots of neighbors have sign regards to NO LOT SPLIT plus restricted covenant. Further, Community league does not wish to get involved.

Another reason from immediate neighbors is that this new house is blocking Sunlight to their houses----Our observation is that there is already tons of mature trees in close proximity to our project-Which means this has been the norm even prior to the project.

Strongly recommend the Appeals board team to do a site visit to check if the 1.2 ft height looks too obvious or an eye soar.

Our Surveyor has suggested that we can raise grades by 6 inches if needed, however this will still mean, we will be out by 0.8

Our best hope is that Appeal's Board team will understand that this is a honest, plus 1st and last mistake from our Company and come up with a reasonable decision.

I will upload photos of neighbors houses with trees blocking Sun for review.

Thanks

General Matters

Appeal History:

On May 30, 2019, the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board made and passed the following motion:

"That the appeal hearing be postponed to June 26 or 27, 2019."

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Section 110.1 states that the **General Purpose** of **(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone** is:

to provide for Single Detached Housing while allowing other forms of small scale housing in the form of Secondary Suites, Garden Suites, Semi-detached Housing and Duplex Housing.

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Under section 110.2(5), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone.

Under section 7.2(8), Single Detached Housing means:

development consisting of a building containing one principal Dwelling which is separate from any other principal Dwelling or building. This Use includes Mobile Homes which conform to Section 78 of this Bylaw.

Mature Neighbourhood Overlay - Height

Section 814.3(5) states "The maximum Height shall not exceed 8.9 m."

Development Officer's Determination (16020 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-078)

1. Height - The house was built 9.2m high (to the midpoint), instead of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5) [unedited]

Development Officer's Determination (16022 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-079)

1. Height - The house was built 9.3m high (to the midpoint), instead of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5) [unedited]

Height

Section 52.2(c) states:

In determining whether a development conforms to the maximum Height permissible in any Zone, the following regulations shall apply:

•••

c. Where the maximum Height as determined by Section 52.1 is measured to the midpoint, the ridge line of the roof shall not extend more than 1.5 m above the maximum permitted building Height of the Zone or overlay, or in the case of a Garden Suite the maximum permitted building Height in accordance with <u>Section 87</u> of this Bylaw.

Development Officer's Determination (16020 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-078)

2. Height - The house was built 10.6m high (to the peak), instead of 10.4m (Section 52.2(c)) [unedited]

Development Officer's Determination (16022 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-079)

2. Height - The house was built 10.8m high (to the peak), instead of 10.4m (Section 52.2(c)) [unedited]

Community Consultation

Section 814.5(1) states the following with respect to Proposed Variances:

When the Development Officer receives a Development Permit Application for a new principal building, or a new Garden Suite that does not comply with any regulation contained within this Overlay, or receives a Development Permit for alterations to an existing structure that require a variance to Section 814.3(1), 814.3(3), 814.3(5) and 814.3(9) of this Overlay:

- a. the Development Officer shall send notice, to the recipient parties specified in Table 814.5(2), to outline any requested variances to the Overlay and solicit comments directly related to the proposed variance;
- b. the Development Officer shall not render a decision on the Development Permit application until 21 days after notice has been sent, unless the Development Officer receives feedback from the specified affected parties in accordance with Table 814.5(2); and

c. the Development Officer shall consider any comments directly related to the proposed variance when determining whether to approve the Development Permit Application in accordance with Sections 11.3 and 11.4.

Section 814.5(2) states:

Tier #	Recipient Parties	Affected Parties	Regulation of this Overlay to be Varied
Tier 1	The municipal address and assessed owners of the land wholly or partially located within a distance of 60.0 metres of the Site of the proposed development and the President of each Community League	of the land wholly or partially located within a distance of 60.0 metres of the Site of the proposed development and the	814.3(5) - Height

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	4	Application	for	Project Nur Application D Printed: Page:	mber: 257883235-010 ate: SEP 07, 2018 April 15, 2019 at 2:13 PM 1 of 1				
Chis document is a Development Permit Decision for the development application described below.									
	it Decision for th								
Applicant			perty Address(es) a 10620 - 69 STREET Plan 1720067 1	NW	tion(s)				
Scope of Application To leave as built a Single Detach	ed House (increa	se building height).							
Permit Details									
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 0		# of P	rimary Dwelling Units To	o Construct:					
# of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To	Construct:		of Permit: Class B						
Client File Reference Number:		Lot G	rading Needed?: N						
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Exterior Al	terations (Res.)	New	Sewer Service Required:	Y					
Secondary Suite Included ?: N		Stat. I Overl		rlay/Annex Area: Mature Neighbourhood					
I/We certify that the above noted details a	re correct.	· · · ·							
Applicant signature:									
Development Application Decision Refused									
Issue Date: Apr 15, 2019 Deve	lopment Author	ity:BAUER, KERRY							
Reason for Refusal 1. Height - The house was be	ult 9.2m high (to	the midpoint), instead	of 8.9m (Section 81	14.3.5)					
2. Height - The house was be	uilt 10.6m high (t	o the peak), instead of	10.4m (Section 52.2	2(c))					
Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the right of through 689 of the Municipal			n which the decision	is made, as outline	ed in Section 683				
Fees									
	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid					
Existing Without Permit Penalty Fee Dev. Application Fee	\$170.00 \$170.00	\$170.00 \$170.00	05350631 05350631	Sep 21, 2018 Sep 21, 2018					
Total GST Amount:	\$170.00	\$170.00	03330031	Jep 21, 2010					
Totals for Permit:	\$340.00	\$340.00							
		THIS IS NOT A PE	RMIT						

				Project Num Application Da Printed:	ber: 257884965-010 te: SEP 07, 2018 April 15, 2019 at 2:14 PM				
	A	pplication	for	Page:	1 of 1				
Minor Development Permit									
his document is a Development Permi	t Decision for the	e development applica	tion described below	<i>N</i> .					
Applicant			perty Address(es) a		on(s)				
			10622 - 69 STREET Plan 1720067 I						
Scope of Application	d House (increase	e building height)							
To leave as built a Single Detache Permit Details	d flouse (increas	e ounding height).							
er mit Detans									
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 0		# of P	rimary Dwelling Units To	o Construct:					
# of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To C	onstruct:		of Permit: Class B						
Client File Reference Number:			rading Needed?: N						
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Exterior Alt	erations (Res.)		Sewer Service Required:						
Secondary Suite Included ?: N		Stat. F Overla	'lan Overlay/Annex Area ay	: Mature Neighbourhood					
I/We certify that the above noted details an	e correct.	· · · · ·							
Applicant signature:									
Development Application Decision Refused									
Issue Date: Apr 15, 2019 Devel	opment Author	ity: BAUER, KERRY							
Reason for Refusal 1. Height - The house was bu	ilt 9.3m high (to	the midpoint), instead	of 8.9m (Section 81	14.3.5)					
2. Height - The house was bu	ilt 10.8m high (to	o the peak), instead of	10.4m (Section 52.2	2(c))					
Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the right of through 689 of the Municipal			n which the decision	is made, as outlined	l in Section 683				
Fees									
]	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid					
Dev. Application Fee	\$170.00	\$170.00	05350630	Sep 21, 2018					
Existing Without Permit Penalty Fee	\$170.00	\$170.00	05350630	Sep 21, 2018					
Total GST Amount: Totals for Permit:	\$0.00	\$340.00							
	4540.00	\$J40.00							





ITEM III: 10:30 A.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-19-095

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

APPELLA	NT:
---------	-----

APPLICATION NO.:

APPLICATION TO:

302525621-001

Construct a Single Detached House with Unenclosed Front Porch, rear uncovered deck (5.94m x 3.05m), rear balcony, fireplace, and to develop a Secondary Suite in the Basement

DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused
DECISION DATE:	May 30, 2019
DATE OF APPEAL:	June 3, 2019
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	10526 - 85 Avenue NW
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 1920560 Blk 99 Lot 3
ZONE:	RF4 Semi-Detached Residential Zone
OVERLAY:	MNO Mature Neighbourhood Overlay
STATUTORY PLAN:	Strathcona Area Redevelopment Plan

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

We are asking for a height variance. The extra height is keeping with the look and feel of the street.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis

licence and distances between those premises and other premises;

- •••
- (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
- (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Section 110.1 states that the **General Purpose** of **(RF4) Semi-detached Residential Zone** is "to provide a zone primarily for Semi-detached Housing and Duplex Housing."

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Under section 150.2(7), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF4) Semi-detached Residential Zone.

Under section 7.2(8), Single Detached Housing means:

development consisting of a building containing one principal Dwelling which is separate from any other principal Dwelling or building. This Use includes Mobile Homes which conform to Section 78 of this Bylaw.

Mature Neighbourhood Overlay - Height

Section 814.3(5) states "The maximum Height shall not exceed 8.9 m."

Development Officer's Determination

Height - The house shall not exceed a Height of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5). Maximum: 8.9m Proposed: 9.9m Exceeds by: 1.0m

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	A	Application	for	Project Number: 302525621 - Application Date: JAN 14 Printed: June 3, 2019 at 2:4 Page:					
Minor Development Permit									
This document is a Development Peri	nit Decision for th	ne development applica	tion described below	N.					
Applicant			• • • •	and Legal Description(s)					
			10526 - 85 AVENU						
	_		Plan 1920560 1	Blk 99 Lot 3					
		Spe	cific Address(es)						
		Suite	10526 - 85 A	VENUE NW					
		Suite	BSMT, 10520	5 - 85 AVENUE NW					
		Entry	way: 10526 - 85 A	VENUE NW					
		Build	ing: 10526 - 85 A	VENUE NW					
Scope of Application									
To construct a Single Detached and to develop a Secondary Suit			r uncovered deck (5	.94m x 3.05m), rear balcony, fireplace,					
Permit Details		-							
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 1 # of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To	Construct: 1		rimary Dwelling Units To of Permit: Class B	o Construct: 1					
Client File Reference Number:	Construct. 1		ading Needed?: Y						
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Single De	tached House		ewer Service Required:	Y					
Secondary Suite Included ?: Y		Stat. I	lan Overlay/Annex Area	: Mature Neighbourhood					
		Overla	y .						
I/We certify that the above noted details	are correct.								
Applicant signature:									
Development Application Decision									
Refused		the THOLE BOWLES							
Issue Date: May 30, 2019 Dev	elopment Author	rity: ZHOU, ROWLEY							
Reason for Refusal									
Height - The house shall no Maximum: 8.9m	t exceed a Height	of 8.9m (Section 814.)	3.5).						
Proposed: 9.9m									
Exceeds by: 1.0m									
Rights of Appeal									
The Applicant has the right through 689 of the Municip	of appeal within 2 al Government Ac	21 days after the date o tt.	n which the decision	is made, as outlined in Section 683					
Fees									
	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid					
Lot Grading Fee	\$145.00	\$145.00	05593209	Jan 16, 2019					
Sanitary Sewer Trunk Fund (Secondary/Garden Suite)	\$735.00	\$735.00	05593209	Jan 16, 2019					
Dev. Application Fee	\$493.00	\$493.00	05593209	Jan 16, 2019					
Development Permit Inspection Fee	\$207.00	\$207.00	05593209	Jan 16, 2019					

		Application	for	Project Numbe Application Date: Printed: Page:	r: 302525621-001 JAN 14, 2019 June 3, 2019 at 2:49 PM 2 of 2					
-		i age.	2012							
Fees										
Total GST Amount: Totals for Permit:	Fee Amount \$0.00 \$1,580.00	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid						
		THICK NOT A PE	DMIT							
		THIS IS NOT A PE	KMH							



ITEM IV: 1:30 P.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-19-080

TO BE RAISED

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

APPELLANT: APPLICATION NO.:	306082440-001
APPLICATION TO:	Change the use from a Specialty Food Store (Starbucks) to a Cannabis Retail Sales and construct interior alterations
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused
DECISION DATE:	April 25, 2019
DATE OF APPEAL:	May 8, 2019
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	4333 - 50 Street NW
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 9123322 Blk 1 Lot 56
ZONE:	DC2 Site Specific Development Control Provision
OVERLAY:	N/A
STATUTORY PLAN:	Burnewood Neighbourhood Area Structure Plan

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

- 1. The permit application was refused due to proximity of the parcel of land including Jackie Parker Recreational Area zoned as public lands (100m required; 47m provided)
- 2. The Appellant respectfully requests that the SDAB uses its variance power to grant a variance in the required separation for the following reasons:

- a. The City of Edmonton planning department did not measure as prescribed in the most recent zoning bylaw amendment, Section 70 Subsection 3 (a) "separation distance shall be measured from the closest point of the subject site boundary to the closest point of another site boundary and shall not be measured from zone boundaries or from the edges of structures".
 - i. If measured from our specific site boundary the proximity of our site to the public lands is approximately 95m.
 - ii. Copy of Bylaw amendment is enclosed for reference
- b. While the public lands abut directly onto 50 Street NW, the portion of the lands used for park space is further than that
 - i. The closest portion of the off-leash dog park parking lot is approximately 178 m away as shown in the attached map
 - ii. The closest portion of the parking lot for Jackie Parker Recreational Area is approximately 300 m away as shown in the attached map
 - iii. Maps enclosed for reference

c. Walking distance from our site to:

- i. Jackie Parker Park is approximately 400 m
- ii. Off-leash dog park is approximately 180 m
- iii. Maps enclosed for reference

3. Such further and other grounds may be determined from reviewing the file.

General Matters

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

•••

(4) Despite subsections (1), (2) and (3), if a decision with respect to a development permit application in respect of a direct control district

- (a) ...
- (b) is made by a development authority, the appeal is limited to whether the development authority followed the directions of council, and if the subdivision and development appeal board finds that the development authority did not follow the directions it may, in accordance with the directions, substitute its decision for the development authority's decision.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) ...

<u>General Provisions of DC2.1040 Site Specific Development Control</u> <u>Provision:</u>

Under section DC2.1040.3(b), Cannabis Retail Sales is a Listed Use.

Section DC2.1040.1 states that the **General Purpose** of this **Site Specific Development Control Provision** is:

To establish a Site Specific Development Control District to accommodate a limited range of local convenience commercial and personal service uses which are intended to serve the day-to-day needs of the neighbourhood residents, with site development criteria to ensure that the commercial development will be compatible with the adjacent residential Zonings.

Section DC2.1040.5(d) states: "Cannabis Retail Sales shall be developed in accordance with Section 70 of the Zoning Bylaw."

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 7.4(9), Cannabis Retail Sales means:

development used for the retail sale of Cannabis that is authorized by provincial or federal legislation. This Use may include retail sales of Cannabis accessories. This Use does not include Cannabis Production and Distribution.

Under section 6.1, Cannabis means:

a cannabis plant and anything referred to in subsection (a) of this definition but does not include anything referred to in subsection (b) of this definition:

- a. Cannabis includes:
 - i. any part of a cannabis plant, including the phytocannabinoids produced by, or found in, such a plant, regardless of whether that part has been processed or not, other than a part of the plant referred to in subsection (b) of this definition.
 - ii. any substance or mixture of substances that contains or has on it any part of such a plant;
 - iii. any substance that is identical to any phytocannabinoid produced by, or found in, such a plant, regardless of how the substance was obtained.

Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this definition, Cannabis does not include:

- i. a non-viable seed of a cannabis plant;
- ii. a mature stalk, without any leaf, flower, seed or branch, of such plant;
- iii. fibre derived from a stalk referred in subsection (b)(ii) of this definition; and
- iv. the root or any part of the root of such a plant.

Section 70 – Cannabis Retail Sales (amended February 25, 2019)

1. Any Cannabis Retail Sales shall not be located less than 200 m from any other Cannabis Retail Sales. For the purposes of this subsection only:

- a. the <u>200 m</u> separation distance shall be measured from the closest point of the Cannabis Retail Sales Use to the closest point of any other approved Cannabis Retail Sales Use;
- b. A Development Officer shall not grant a variance to reduce the separation distance by more than 20 m in compliance with Section <u>11</u>; and
- c. The issuance of a Development Permit which contains a variance to separation distance as described in 70(1)(b) shall be issued as a Class B Discretionary Development.

2. Any Site containing Cannabis Retail Sales shall not be located less than:

- a. <u>200 m</u> from any Site being used for a public library, at the time of the application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales; and
- b. <u>100 m</u> from any Site being used for Community Recreation Services Use, a community recreation facility or as public lands at the time of application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales.
- **3.** For the purposes of subsection 2:
 - a. separation distances shall be measured from the closest point of the subject Site boundary to the closest point of another Site boundary, and shall not be measured from Zone boundaries or from the edges of structures;
 - b. the term "public library" is limited to the collection of literary, artistic, musical and similar reference materials and learning resources in the form of books, electronic files, computers, manuscripts, recordings and films for public use, and does not include private libraries, museums or art galleries.
 - c. the term "community recreation facilities" means indoor municipal facilities used primarily by members of the public to participate in recreational activities conducted at the facilities, as per the Municipal Government Act; and

d. the term "public lands" is limited to Sites zoned <u>AP</u>, and Sites zoned <u>A</u>.

- 4. Subsection 105(3) of the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Regulation*, is expressly varied by the following:
 - a. any Site containing a Cannabis Retail Sales shall not be located less than:

Public or private education

i. <u>200 m</u> from a Site being used for public or private education, at the time of the application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales;

Provincial health care facility

ii. <u>100 m</u> from a Site being used for a provincial health care facility at the time of the application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales; and

School reserve or municipal and school reserve

iii. <u>100 m</u> from a Site designated as school reserve or municipal and school reserve at the time of the application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales.

Measurement of Separation Distances

b. For the purposes of this subsection, separation distances shall be measured from the closest point of the subject Site boundary to the closest point of another Site boundary, and shall not be measured from Zone boundaries or from the edges of structures.

Sites Greater than Two Hectares

- c. For Sites that are greater than <u>2.0 ha</u> in size and zoned either <u>CSC</u> or <u>DC2</u>, that do not contain a public library at the time of application for the Development Permit for the Cannabis Retail Sales:
 - i. Subsection 70(2), and 70(4)(a) shall not apply; and
 - ii. the distances referred to in Subsection 105(3) of the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Regulation* shall be expressly varied to 0 m.
- d. For the purposes of subsection 70(4)(a)(i), the term "public or private education" means a school as defined in subsection (1)(y)(i) and (1)(y)(ii) of the *School Act (as amended from time to time)*.
- 5. Notwithstanding Section 11 of this Bylaw, a Development Officer shall not grant a variance to subsection 70(2), 70(3)(a) or 70(4).

Design Requirements

6. Cannabis Retail Sales shall include design elements that readily allow for natural surveillance to promote a safe urban environment, where applicable and to the satisfaction of the Development Officer, including the following requirements:

- a. customer access to the store is limited to a storefront that is visible from the street other than a Lane, or a shopping centre parking lot, or mall access that allows visibility from the interior of the mall into the store;
- b. the exterior of all stores shall have ample transparency from the street;
- c. Any outdoor lighting shall be designed to ensure a well-lit environment for pedestrians and illumination of the property; and
- d. Landscaping shall be low-growing shrubs or deciduous trees with a high canopy at maturity to maintain natural surveillance.

Minimum Setback from Public Lands

Development Officer's Determination

The proposed Cannabis Retail Store does not comply with the minimum setback requirement from public lands (Jackie Parker Recreational Area) (Sections 70.2-70.3):

Required Setback: 100m Proposed Setback: 47m Deficient by 53m

Under Section 70.5 of the Zoning Bylaw, the Development Officer is prohibited from granting a variance to the minimum setback to allow for the proposed Cannabis Retail Store.

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	P	Application	1 for	Project Numbe Application Date: Printed: Page:	er: 306082440-00 FEB 28, 20 May 8, 2019 at 3:17 P 1 of
			nent Permit		
his document is a Development Per		-			
pplicant		I	Property Address(es) a	and Legal Description	n(s)
			4333 - 50 STREET		
	_	_	Plan 9123322	BIK I Lot 56	
			pecific Address(es)		
			ite: 4357 - 50 ST		
			tryway: 4357 - 50 ST		
		BI	ilding: 4333 - 50 ST	REEINW	
cope of Application	1				
To change the use from a Specia	alty Food Store (S	tarbucks) to a Cann	abis Retail Sales and co	onstruct interior alteration	ons.
ermit Details					
Class of Permit:			ntact Person:		
Gross Floor Area (sq.m.): 195			t Grading Needed?: N		
New Sewer Service Required: N			unberOfMainFloorDwelling	s:	
Site Area (sq. m.):		St	at. Plan Overlay/Annex Area	: (none)	
I/We certify that the above noted details	are correct.				
Applicant signature:					
Issue Date: Apr 25, 2019 Dev Reason for Refusal The proposed Cannabis Rec Recreational Area) (Section Required Setback: 100m Proposed Setback: 47m Deficient by 53m Under Section 70.5 of the 2 to allow for the proposed C	tail Store does not 15 70.2-70.3): Zoning Bylaw, the	comply with the m Development Offic	nimum setback require	-	
Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the right through 689 of the Municip			e on which the decision	1 is made, as outlined in	n Section 683
lees	-		_		
Major Day Application Fee	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid	
Major Dev. Application Fee Development Permit Inspection Fee	\$5,600.00 \$518.00	\$5,600.00 \$518.00	071520190315000 05707549	Mar 15, 2019 Mar 15, 2019	
		THIS IS NOT A			

Maint Annual Pail Recipt # Date Pail Tel GIST Annuel: 900 901 901 901 Tel GIST Annuel: 900 901 901 901		ŀ	Application	for	Project Numbe Application Date: Printed: Page:	r: 306082440-001 FEB 28, 2019 May 8, 2019 at 3:17 PM 2 of 2			
Fee Amount Amount Paid Receipt # Date Paid Total GST Amount: \$5,118.00 \$5,118.00 \$5,118.00									
	Fees	Fees							
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT		\$0.00		·					
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT									
			THIS IS NOT A PE	RMIT					



