## **SUBDIVISION**

## AND

# **DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD**

# AGENDA

Thursday, 9:00 A.M. May 20, 2021

Ι	9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-21-077	
			Install (1) Minor Digital Off-Premises [Freestanding] Sign (4.3 metres by 14.6 metres facing South) (PATTISON   1684474 ALBERTA LTD.)
			3803 - Gateway Boulevard NW Project No.: 387454418-002
II	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-21-078	
			Erect a fence at 1.80 metres in Height in the Front Yard abutting the shared property line with 9218 - 94 Street NW
			9220 - 94 Street NW
			Project No.: 385103508-002
	NOTE:		all references to "Section numbers" in this Agenda der the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.

## SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

#### ITEM I: 9:00 A.M.

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER				
APPELLANT:				
APPLICATION NO .:	387454418-002			
APPLICATION TO:	Install (1) Minor Digital Off-Premises [Freestanding] Sign (4.3 metres by 14.6 metres facing South) (PATTISON   1684474 ALBERTA LTD.)			
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused			
DECISION DATE:	March 31, 2021			
DATE OF APPEAL:	April 19, 2021			
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	3803 - Gateway Boulevard NW			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	SW-9-52-24-4			
ZONE:	(CHY) Highway Corridor Zone			
OVERLAY:	Major Commercial Corridors Overlay			
STATUTORY PLAN:	N/A			

## Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

As counsel for Pattison Outdoor Advertising and in response to the Reasons for Refusal provided by the Development Officer, the grounds for appeal are:

1. Pattison has been in touch with the developers to the south, and those developers have no objection to the subject sign remaining as is, where is until such time as the proposed building has been erected.

2. The Board has previously determined that the subject sign does not offend the provisions of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study and that the Study is not binding on the Board in any event.

3. The excess height of 1.1 m is required for the period of construction, only.

Together with such reasons as will be presented at the hearing of the appeal.

#### **General Matters**

#### **Appeal Information:**

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

#### **Grounds for Appeal**

**685(1)** If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

#### Appeals

**686(1)** A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
  - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,

- (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
- (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,
- or
- (ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or
- (b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

#### **Hearing and Decision**

**687(3)** In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clause (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
  - •••
  - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
  - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,

- (i) the proposed development would not
  - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
  - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

#### General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 350.3(26), a Minor Digital Off-premises Sign is a Discretionary Use in the (CHY) Highway Corridor Zone.

Under section 7.9(6), Minor Digital Off-premises Signs means:

a Freestanding or Fascia Sign that contains Digital Copy, is a Permanent Sign, displays Off-premises Advertising, and does not include moving effects, message transition effects, video images, or animation.

Under section 6.2, Digital Copy means:

the portion of a Sign that contains Copy that is remotely changed on or off Site and incorporates a technology or method allowing the Sign to change Copy without having to manually or mechanically replace the Sign face or its components.

Under section 6.2, a Freestanding Sign means:

means a Sign supported independently of a building.



Section 350.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(CHY) Highway Corridor Zone** is:

to provide for high quality commercial development and limited Residential-related Uses along those public roadways, which serve as entrance routes to the City or along limited access public roadways intended to provide a connection to entrance routes.

Section 813.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Major Commercial Corridors Overlay** is "to ensure that development along Major Commercial Corridors is visually attractive and that due consideration is given to pedestrian and traffic safety."

Sign Regulations - General Provisions

Section 59.2(6) states:

For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone.

#### **Development Officers Determination**

1) Section 59.2(6): For all Sign Applications, the Development Officer shall have regard for the scale and architectural character of the building and the land use characteristics of surrounding development. The Development Officer shall refuse any Sign Application that may adversely impact the amenities or character of the Zone.

PROPOSED: The sign would face directly into the glazing on the north wall of the proposed building approved in DP: 298012410-002/007 (Construction of 7 Buildings south on 3751 Gateway Blvd NW.) The sign would adversely impact the neighbouring property to the south due to the height, the large scale and the illumination cast onto the property.

[unedited]

Section 59.2(7) states:

For all Sign Applications for Major Digital Sign, Minor Digital On-premises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs, the Development Officer shall review the application in context with the surrounding development, such as (but not limited to): the architectural theme of the area; any historic designations; the requirements of any Statutory Plan; any streetscape improvements; proximity to residential development; driver decision points; and traffic conflict points. The Development Officer may require application revisions to mitigate the impact of a proposed Sign, and may refuse a permit that adversely impacts the built environment.

#### **Development Officers Determination**

2) Section 59.2(7): For all Sign Applications for Major Digital Sign, Minor Digital On-premises Signs, Minor Digital Off-premises Signs, and Minor Digital On-premises Off-premises Signs, the Development Officer shall review the application in context with the surrounding development, such as (but not limited to): the architectural theme of the area; any historic designations; the requirements of any Statutory Plan; any streetscape improvements; proximity to residential development; driver decision points; and traffic conflict points. The Development Officer may require application revisions to mitigate the impact of a proposed Sign, and may refuse a permit that adversely impacts the built environment.

**PROPOSED:** Pursuant to Section 59.2(7) of the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw, the Development Officer may refuse the permit that adversely impacts the built environment.

The proposed Minor Digital Off-premises Sign (billboard) does not serve to enhance the built environment, nor does it contribute to or serve to enhance the Gateway Boulevard major commercial corridor, and may directly impact the approved development to the south by projecting illumination onto the adjacent property.

[unedited]

#### Calgary Trail Land Use Study

The *City of Edmonton Charter*, 2018 Regulation, Alta Reg 39/2018 states the following:

#### (31) In section 616 of the Act,

- (e) clause (dd) is to be read as follows:
  - (dd) "statutory plan" means
    - (i) an intermunicipal development plan,

(ii) a municipal development plan,

(iii) an area structure plan,

(iv) an area redevelopment plan, and

(v) an additional statutory plan under section 635.1

adopted by the City under Division 4;

#### **Development Officers Determination**

3) Section 3.4(b)(ii): The sign is located within the Calgary Trail Land Use Study adopted by Resolution of Council on September 11, 1984, with amendments in August 2015. Under the General Urban Design Policies of Section 3.4.b.ii of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study:

"Greater attention shall be given to improving the location, siting, Signage comprehendibility and design of signage in the corridor by discouraging the use of portable signs and free-standing billboards." The proposed freestanding Minor Digital Off-Premises sign is contrary to Section 3.4.b.ii of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study.

The proposed Minor Digital Off-premises Sign is contrary to Section 3.4(b)(ii) of the Calgary Trail Land Use Study.

[unedited]

#### Calgary Trail Land Use Study

Section 350.4(10) states "Signs shall comply with the regulations found in Schedule 59F."

Schedule 59F.3(6)(b) states "that maximum Height shall be 8.0 m"

Under section 6.1, **Height Signs** means "the vertical distance measured from the finished ground surface directly under the Sign to the highest point of the Sign."

#### **Development Officers Determination**

4) Section 59F.3(6)(b): The maximum Height of a Minor Digital Off-premises Sign shall be 8.0 m.

PROPOSED: 9.1m Exceeds by: 1.1m

#### Advisements:

Note that the berm referenced by the applicant in the SDAB Decision SDAB-D-16-041 on the adjacent property to the south, has been removed, and the site is currently undergoing new development.

[unedited]

Previous Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Decision						
Application Number SDAB-D-16-041	DescriptionTo remove an existing	Decision February 25, 2016; The				
	Freestanding Off-Premises Sign and install a Freestanding Minor Digital Off-Premises Sign	Appeal is ALLOWED, and the decision of the Development Authority is REVOKED. The development is GRANTED, subject to the following conditions:				
		i) The pelf lit will remain valid for a period of five years; and				
		ii) Should, at any time, Transportation Services determine that the Sign face contributes to safety concerns, the owner/applicant must immediately address the safety concerns identified by removing the Sign, de-energizing the Sign, changing the message conveyed on the Sign, and on address the concern in another manner acceptable to Transportation Services.				
		In granting the development, the following variance to the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw is Allowed:				
		i) a variance of 1.14 metres with respect to the Height of the Sign, allowing it to exceed				

	the maximum of eight metres
	stipulated by Section 59F.3 of
	the EZB.

## Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Edmonton	Applicati	ion for	Project Number: 387454418-002 Application Date: FEB 24, 2021 Printed: March 31, 2021 at 11:57 AM Page: 1672	
		Permit		
This document is a Development Pern	nit Decision for the development	application described below.		
Applicant		Property Address(es) and L 3803 - GATEWAY BOU		
		SW-9-52-24-4		
Scope of Application To install (1) Minor Digital Off- Permit Details	Premises [Freestanding] Sign (4.3	m x 14.6m facing S) (PATTIS	ON   1684474 ALBERTA LTD.).	
ASA Sticker No./Name of Engineer: Construction Value: 100000		Class of Permit:		
Construction Value: 100000		Expiry Date:		
Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0		Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0		
Fascia On-premises Sign: 0		Freestanding On-premises Sign: 0		
Roof Off-premises Sign: 0		Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0		
Roof On-premises Sign: 0		Projecting On-premises Sign: 0		
Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0		Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0		
Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 1		Comprehensive Sign Design: 0		
Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 0		Major Digital Sign: 0		
Development Application Decision Refused				
Issue Date: Mar 31, 2021 Dev	elopment Authority:NOORMA	N, BRENDA		
	THIS IS NOT	A PERMIT		

				Project Number: Application Date:	387454418-00 FEB 24, 202
dmonton				Printed: Marc	h 31, 2021 at 11:57 A
ononion	1	Application	n for	Page:	2 0
		Sign Pe	rmit		
Reason for Refusal					
the building and the		f surrounding deve	lopment. The Develop	d for the scale and archite nent Officer shall refuse a	
002/007 (Construction		n 3751 Gateway Bl	vd NW.) The sign wou	oosed building approved i ld adversely impact the n property.	
Signs, and Minor Di the surrounding deve requirements of any and traffic conflict p	gital On-premises Off-pro clopment, such as (but no Statutory Plan; any street	emises Signs, the I the limited to): the ar- tscape improvemen Officer may require	Development Officer sh chitectural theme of the ts; proximity to resider e application revisions	premises Signs, Minor Di Iall review the application e area; any historic design tial development; driver to mitigate the impact of	in context with ations; the decision points;
PROPOSED: Pursua	nt to Section 59.2(7) of t	the Edmonton Zonii	ng Bylaw, the Developi	ment Officer may refuse t	he permit that
or serve to enhance	Digital Off-premises Sig	najor commercial co		e built environment, nor o ly impact the approved de	
				ed by Resolution of Coun of Section 3.4.b.ii of the C	
by discouraging the		free-standing billb	oards." The proposed fi	ibility and design of signa reestanding Minor Digital	
The proposed Minor	Digital Off-premises Sig	gn is contrary to Sec	tion 3.4(b)(ii) of the C	algary Trail Land Use Stu	ıdy.
4) Section 59F.3(6)(	): The maximum Height	t of a Minor Digital	Off-premises Sign sha	ll be 8.0 m.	
PROPOSED: 9.1m Exceeds by: 1.1m					
	eferenced by the applican he site is currently under			on the adjacent property	to the south, has
	e right of appeal within 2 lunicipal Government Ac		e on which the decision	a is made, as outlined in S	ection 683
es					
	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid	
Sign Development Applicatio Digital Signs	n Fee - \$900.00	\$900.00	06443008347J001	Feb 24, 2021	
Total GST Amount:	\$0.00				
Totals for Permit:	\$900.00	\$900.00			





### ITEM II: 10:30 A.M.

### FILE: SDAB-D-21-078

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER				
APPELLANT:				
APPLICATION NO.:	385103508-002			
APPLICATION TO:	Erect a fence at 1.80 metres in Height in the Front Yard abutting the shared property line with 9218 - 94 Street NW			
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Approved with conditions			
DECISION DATE:	March 31, 2021			
DATE OF APPEAL:	April 20, 2021			
NOTIFICATION PERIOD:	April 8, 2021 through April 29, 2021			
RESPONDENT:				
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	9220 - 94 Street NW			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 1032AA Blk 4 Lot 20			
ZONE:	(RF3) Small Scale Infill Development Zone			
OVERLAY:	Mature Neighbourhood Overlay			
STATUTORY PLAN:	N/A			

## Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

The fence that is 1.8 metres high and comes out to the property line is too high and obstructs the view of a driver when they are backing out onto the street. This driveway has been located in the front of the property since the house was built and has been used since I owned the house back in 1989. The height of 1.2 metres of a fence in the front of any property must have been designed for some reason and put into Section 49.d.i. accordingly. So my question is "Was this change in conditions to allow for a higher fence referred to the City's Engineering Department for their input on how safe it will be for anyone backing onto any street." For these reasons I feel this permit approval should be withdrawn until the City proves that the fence will not interfere with a driver ability to safely back onto a street.

#### **General Matters**

#### **Appeal Information:**

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

#### **Grounds for Appeal**

**685(1)** If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

#### Appeals

**686(1)** A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
  - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,

- (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
- (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,

or

- (ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or
- (b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

#### **Hearing and Decision**

**687(3)** In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

...

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clause (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;

•••

- (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
- (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,

- (i) the proposed development would not
  - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
  - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

#### General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 140.2(8), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF3) Small Scale Infill Development Zone.

Under section 6.1, **Accessory** means "when used to describe a Use or building, a Use or building naturally or normally incidental, subordinate, and devoted to the principal Use or building, and located on the same lot or Site."

Under section 6.1, **Fence** means "a structure constructed at ground level, used to prevent or restrict passage, provide visual screening, noise attenuation, Landscaping, or to mark a boundary."

Under section 6.1, Height means "a vertical distance between two points."

Under section 6.1, Front Yard means:

the portion of a Site abutting the Front Lot Line extending across the full width of the Site, situated between the Front Lot Line and the nearest wall of the principal building, not including projections.



Public Roadway

Section 140.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(RF3) Small Scale Infill Development Zone** is "to provide for a mix of small scale housing."

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Fences, Walls, Gates, and Privacy Screening in Residential Zones

Section 49.1 states the following with respect to *Fences, walls and gates*:

- a. The regulations contained within Section 49.1 of this Bylaw apply to:
  - i. the Height of the material used in the construction of a Fence, wall, or gate, such as but not limited to boards, panels, masonry, ornamental iron, and chain link, plus any additional elements used for screening, such as, but not limited to, lattice.
- b. Notwithstanding subsection 49(1)(a), the regulations for Fences, walls, and gates contained within this Section do not apply to the Height of the posts or other supporting material used to anchor the Fence, wall, or gate.
- c. The Height of a Fence, wall, or gate shall be measured from the general ground level 0.5 m back from the property line of the Site on which the Fence, wall, or gate is to be constructed.
- d. On an Interior Site, the Height of a Fence, wall, or gate shall not exceed:
  - i. 1.2 m for the portion of the Fence, wall, or gate constructed in the Front Yard, and
  - ii. 1.85 m in all other Yards.
- e. On a Corner Site, the Height of a Fence, wall, or gate shall not exceed:
  - i. 1.2 m for the portion of the Fence, wall, or gate constructed in the Front Yard,

- ii. 1.2 m for the portion of the Fence, wall, or gate situated between the flanking Side Lot Line and the foremost side Façade of the principal structure, and extending from the Front Lot Line to the Rear Lot Line, and
- iii. 1.85 m in all other Yards.
- f. In the case where the permitted Height of a Fence, wall, or gate is 1.2 m, the Development Officer may vary the Height of the Fence, wall, or gate to a maximum of 1.85 m, in order to provide additional screening from public roadways or incompatible adjacent Uses,
- g. In the case where the permitted Height of a Fence, wall, or gate is 1.85 m, the Development Officer may vary the Height of the Fence, wall, or gate to a maximum of 2.44 m, in order to provide additional screening from public roadways or incompatible adjacent Uses,
- h. Notwithstanding subsection 49.1(f) and subsection 49.1(g) of this Bylaw, in the case of Double Fronting Sites, the Development Officer may grant a variance to allow a Fence, wall, or gate of up to 1.85 m in Height in one of the Front Yards, and allow a Fence, wall, or gate of up to 2.44 m in Height in the other Front Yard, having regard to the location of Fences, walls, and gates in the surrounding area and the requirement for screening.

#### **Development Officer's Determination**

Fence Height - The fence in the front yard abutting abutting the shared property line with 9218 - 94 Street NW is 1.80m high, instead of 1.2m (Section 49.d.i)

[unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Edmonton	Project Number: 385103508-00 Application Date: JAN 29, 20 Printed: March 31, 2021 at 3:06 F Page: 1 o
Over	eight Fence Permit
This document is a record of a Development Permit app the limitations and conditions of this permit, of the Edm	tion, and a record of the decision for the undertaking described below, subject to on Zoning Bylaw 12800 as amended.
Applicant	Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s) 9220 - 94 STREET NW
	Plan 1032AA Blk 4 Lot 20
	Location(s) of Work
	Suite: 9220 - 94 STREET NW
	Entryway: 9220 - 94 STREET NW
	Building: 9220 - 94 STREET NW
Scope of Permit	
To erect a fence @ 1.80m in Height in the Front Y	Abutting the shared property line with 9218 - 94 Street NW.
Permit Details	
Class Of Permit: Class B	Site Area (uq. m.): 404.99
Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: Mature Neighbourhood	satisfies (at m). 44437
Overlay	
Development Permit Decision	
Approved	
Issue Date: Mar 31, 2021 Development Author	LANGILLE, BRANDON
Subject to the Following Conditions This Development Permit is NOT valid until t	Notification Period expires in accordance to Section 21 (Section 17.1).
This Development Permit authorizes the deve 9218 - 94 Street NW.	ment of a 1.80m in Height in the Front Yard Abutting the shared property line wit
The development shall be constructed in acco	ice with the stamped and approved drawings.
The fence shall be installed entirely on the sub	t property.
The fence shall not impede any sightlines for	icular or pedestrian traffic.
As far as reasonably practicable, the design ar standard of surrounding development (Section	se of exterior finishing materials used shall be similar to, or better than, the (3.1).
Immediately upon completion of the develops	t of the fence, the site shall be cleared of all debris.
ADVISEMENTS:	
does not remove obligations to conform with	proposed development has been reviewed against the provisions of this bylaw. It er legislation, bylaws or land title instruments including, but not limited to, the t or any caveats, restrictive covenants or easements that might be attached to the
Unless otherwise stated, all above references t	ection numbers refer to the authority under the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.
Variances Fence Height - The fence in the front yard abu instead of 1.2m (Section 49.d.i)	ig abutting the shared property line with 9218 - 94 Street NW is 1.80m high,

Edmonton				Project Number: <b>385103508-00</b> Application Date: JAN 29, 202 Printed: March 31, 2021 at 3:06 PJ Page: 2 of
	Over			
Rights of Appeal This approval is subject to Amendment Act.	o the right of appeal	as outlined in Chap	ter 24, Section 683 thro	ough 689 of the Municipal Government
Notice Period Begins:A	pr 08, 2021	Ends: Apr 29, 20	021	
Building Permit Decision No decision has yet been mad	e.			
Fees				
Existing Without Permit Dev Application Penalty Fee	Fee Amount \$182.00	Amount Paid \$182.00	Receipt # 072501088124001	Date Paid Mar 15, 2021
Development Application Fee Total GST Amount:	\$182.00 \$0.00	\$182.00	054320084763001	Jan 29, 2021
Totals for Permit:	\$364.00	\$364.00		



