SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Thursday, 9:00 A.M. May 23, 2019

Hearing Room No. 3 Churchill Building, 10019 - 103 Avenue NW, Edmonton, AB

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD HEARING ROOM NO. 3

	NOTE:		uted, all references to "Section numbers" refer to the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.
			11012 - 84 Avenue NW Project No.: 293187436-001
			To construct a Duplex House with an Unenclosed Front Porch
III	1:30 P.M.	SDAB-D-19-074	
			7610 - 167 Avenue NW Project No.: 224518430-032
			To change the Use from General Retail Stores to Child Care Services (36 Children).
II	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-073	
			2129 - Casselman Link SW 2129C - Casselman Link SW Project No.: 141173722-279
			To construct exterior alterations (roof feature above Height) to an Apartment House building.
Ι	9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-072	

<u>ITEM I: 9:00 A</u>	. <u>M.</u>	FILE: SDAB-D-19-072		
	AN APPEAL FROM	THE DECISION	OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICE	<u>R</u>
	APPELLANT:			
	APPLICATION NO .:		141173722-279	
	APPLICATION TO:		Construct exterior alterations (roof feature above Height) to an Apartment House building.	
	DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTI	HORITY:	Refused	
	DECISION DATE:		April 15, 2019	
	DATE OF APPEAL:		April 29, 2019	
	MUNICIPAL DESCRIP OF SUBJECT PROPER'		2129 - Casselman Link SW, 2129C Casselman Link SW	-
	LEGAL DESCRIPTION	:	Plan 1422087 Blk 10 Lot 63, Conc Common Area (Plan 1722875)	lo
	ZONE:		RA7-Low Rise Apartment Zone	
	OVERLAY:		N/A	
	STATUTORY PLAN:		Callaghan Neighbourhood Area Structur Plan	re
				_

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

Over the last 25 years as an Architect in the City of Edmonton we (EFG Architects) would design /build apartment buildings which would have features that would go above the permitted roof "height". I will upload and bring samples showing some of these apartments with features that were approved in the past but now the City has determined they will no longer accept these features. This is the reverse of a City mandate of the Edmonton Design Committee (EDC) where they are trying to make a better looking building. By forcing us to delete these minor roof features only makes the building less attractive.

"Yes" - We can build the roof without these features but it's a much better visual project with them. I advocate that the City should be trying to improve the "look" of our projects as opposed to imposing these height by-laws that have no flexibility.

With this latest exterior alteration permit request we have respected all facets of the "height" By-law with this RA7 zoned apartment with the exception of these roof features. We just simply want a better looking building for the community at large to look at.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

- •••
- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,
 - and
 - (ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the *Edmonton Zoning Bylaw*:

Under section 210.2(1), Apartment Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RA7) Low Rise Apartment Zone.

Hearing Date: Thursday, May 23, 2019

Under Section 7.2(1), **Apartment Housing** means development consisting of one or more Dwellings contained within a building in which the Dwellings are arranged in any horizontal or vertical configuration, which does not conform to the definition of any other Residential Use.

Section 210.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(RA7) Low Rise Apartment Zone** is to provide a Zone for Low Rise Apartments.

Height

Section 210.4(5) states the maximum Height shall not exceed 14.5 metres for flat, mansard and gambrel roofs, or 16.0 metres for a roof type with a pitch of 4/12 (18.4 degrees) or greater, in accordance with Section 52.

Section 52.2(c) states where the maximum Height as determined by Section 52.1 is measured to the midpoint, the ridge line of the roof shall not extend more than 1.5 metres above the maximum permitted building Height of the Zone or overlay, or in the case of a Garden Suite the maximum permitted building Height in accordance with Section 87 of this Bylaw.

Development Officer's Determination

1. Height - The Apartment House shall not exceed a Height of 16.0m (Section 210.4.5)

Proposed Height: 16.9m

Proposed exceeds the Maximum Height by: 0.9m [unedited]

2. Height - The Apartment House roof ridge line shall not extend more than 1.5m above the permitted building Height of 16.0m (Section 52.2.c).

Maximum ridge height: 17.5m (16.0m + 1.5m)

Proposed ridge height: 19.3m

Proposed exceeds the maximum ridge height by: 1.8m [unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

			Project Number: Application Date:	141173722-279 MAR 15, 201
	plication	for	Printed: Ap Page:	ril 15, 2019 at 11:10 AM 1 of
· · · · ·	-	ent Permit		
This document is a Development Permit Decision for the dev	elopment applica	tion described below	<i>N</i> .	
Applicant		perty Address(es) a 2129 - CASSELMA	and Legal Description(s)
		Plan 1422087 1		
		2129C - CASSELM	AN LINK SW	
		Condo Commo	on Area (Plan 1722875)	
	Spe	cific Address(es)		
	Entry	way: 2129 - CASS	ELMAN LINK SW	
	Build	ing: 2129 - CASS	ELMAN LINK SW	
Scope of Application				
To construct exterior alterations (roof feature above He	ight) to an Apartı	nent House building	ļ.	
Permit Details				
Class of Permit:	Conta	ct Person:		
Gross Floor Area (sq.m.):		rading Needed?: N		
New Sewer Service Required: N	Numb	erOfMainFloorDwelling	s:	
Site Area (sq. m.): 12308.82	Stat. I	lan Overlay/Annex Area	: (none)	
I/We certify that the above noted details are correct.				
Applicant signature:				
Development Application Decision				
Refused				
Issue Date: Apr 15, 2019 Development Authority: E	BACON, KIRK			
Reason for Refusal				
1. Height - The Apartment House shall not exceed	a Height of 16.01	n (Section 210.4.5)		
Proposed Height: 16.9m Proposed exceeds the Maximum Height by: 0.9m				
 Height - The Apartment House roof ridge line sh (Section 52.2.c). 	nall not extend m	ore than 1.5m above	the permitted building H	leight of 16.0m
(section 52.2.c). Maximum ridge height: 17.5m (16.0m + 1.5m)				
Proposed ridge height: 19.3m				
Proposed exceeds the maximum ridge height by: 1	.8m			
Rights of Appeal				
The Applicant has the right of appeal within 21 day	vs after the date o	n which the decision	is made, as outlined in §	Section 683
through 689 of the Municipal Government Act.				
Fees				
	mount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid	
Major Dev. Application Fee \$368.00	\$368.00	05708913	Mar 15, 2019	
THI	S IS NOT A PE	RMIT		

	Application for Major Development Permit			Application Dat Printed: Page:	Project Number: 141173722-2 Application Date: MAR 15, 2 Printed: April 15, 2019 at 11:10 Page: 2		
Fees Total GST Amount: Totals for Permit:	Fee Amount \$0.00 \$368.00	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid			
		THIS IS NOT A PE	RMIT				





ITEM II: 10:30	<u>A.M.</u>	FILE: SDAB-D-19-073					
	AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER						
	APPELLANT:						
	APPLICATION NO.:	224518430-032					
	APPLICATION TO:	Change the Use from General Retail Stores to Child Care Services (36 Children).					
	DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused					
	DECISION DATE:	April 23, 2019					
	DATE OF APPEAL:	April 26, 2019					
	MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	7610 - 167 Avenue NW					
	LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 1623424 Unit 2					
	ZONE:	CNC - Neighbourhood Convenience Commercial Zone					
	OVERLAY:	N/A					
	STATUTORY PLAN:	Edmonton North Area Structure Plan Schonsee Neighbourhood Structure Plan					

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

The appellant submits the main reason for refusal was that the childcare building is adjacent to the car wash. However the childcare facility is approximately 18 feet away from the child care facility. The child care facility is protected by 20 concrete filled steel bollards. Including bollards which will protect the pick up and drop off area

The pick up and drop off area is secluded from any gas station traffic, and directly next to the entrance of the facility

The play area will be secluded with a sound wall/fence which will prevent and noise travelling to any neighboring residential yards, including a grade difference as well.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
- (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,

(A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;

- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - • •
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Section 330.1 states that the **General Purpose** of **(CNC) Neighbourhood Convenience Commercial Zone** is:

to provide for convenience commercial and personal service uses, which are intended to serve the day-to-day needs of residents within residential neighbourhoods.

Discretionary Use

Under section 310.3(4), Child Care Services is a Discretionary Use in the (CNC) Neighbourhood Convenience Commercial Zone.

Under section 7.8(2), Child Care Services means:

a development intended to provide care, educational activities and supervision for groups of seven or more children under 13 years of age during the day or evening, but does not generally include overnight accommodation. This Use typically includes daycare centres; out-ofschool care centres; preschools; and dayhomes/group family care providing child care to seven or more children within the care provider's residence.

Development Officer's Determination

Advisement: This Major Development Permit application (Job #: 224518430-032) is similar to a previously refused application at the same address (Job #: 224518430-025) with the exception that the proposed number of children has been decreased from 78 to 32 children. The following reasons for refusal are the same as the previous reasons for refusal with exception that reason number 3 has been removed as it is no longer relevant due to the decreased number of children.

The proposed development, Child Care Services, is listed as a Discretionary Use in the Neighbourhood Convenience Commercial (CNC) Zone. Discretionary Uses means those uses of land, buildings or structures for which Permits may be issued only at the discretion of the Development Officer. In the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed development is not a suitable use in the context of the site and is refused for the following reasons. [unedited]

Location requirements

Section 80.2 Location requirements:

- a. No portion of a Child Care Services Use, including the building bay and on-Site outdoor play space, where provided, shall be located adjacent to a building bay with an approved development permit for the following Uses:
 - v. Rapid Drive-through Vehicle Services

Development Officer's Determination

1. Section 80.2(a)(v) - No portion of a Child Care Services Use, including the building bay and on-Site outdoor play space, where provided, shall be located adjacent to a building bay with an approved development permit for Rapid Drive-through Vehicle Services.

Notwithstanding that the building for the proposed Child Care Service is not adjacent to the bay of the Rapid Drive-through Vehicle Services building, the drive aisle for the queuing spaces is adjacent to the building bay of the Child Care Service.

In the opinion of the Development Officer, the proximity of the drive aisle to the Child Care Service would create a greater safety concern than the building bay. [unedited]

Parking Spaces

Section 310.4(9) states Where Uses, that may in the opinion of the Development Officer, create negative impacts such as noise, light or odours which may be noticeable on adjacent properties, and where the Site containing such Uses is directly adjacent to Sites used or zoned for residential activities, the Development Officer may, at the Development Officer's discretion, require that these potential impacts be minimized or negated. This may be achieved through a variety of measures including: Landscaping; berming or screening, which may exceed the requirements of Section 55 of this Bylaw; noise attenuation measures such as structural soundproofing; downward direction of all exterior lighting on to the proposed development; and any other measures as the Development Officer may deem appropriate.

Development Officer's Determination

2. Section 310.4(9) - Where Uses, that may in the opinion of the Development Officer, create negative impacts such as noise, light or odours which may be noticeable on adjacent properties, and where the Site containing such Uses is directly adjacent to Sites used or zoned for residential activities, the Development Officer may, at the Development Officer's discretion, require that these potential impacts be minimized or negated.

The location of the outdoor play space is immediately adjacent to the Rear Yards of existing Single Detached Houses. [unedited]

Previous Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Decision							
Application Number Description Decision							
SDAB-D-18-100	To change the Use from General Retail Stores to	August 2, 2018; The appeal is DENIED and the decision of the Development Authority is CONFIRMED. The					
		development is REFUSED.					

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	Project Number: 224518430-03 Application Date: FEB 27, 201 Printed: April 23, 2019 at 4:03 PM Page: 1 of
Major De	evelopment Permit
This document is a Development Permit Decision for the deve	elopment application described below.
Applicant	Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s)
	7610 - 167 AVENUE NW Plan 1623424 Unit 2
	Specific Address(es)
	Suite: 7630 - 167 AVENUE NW
	Entryway: 7630 - 167 AVENUE NW
	Building: 7630 - 167 AVENUE NW
Scope of Application To change the Use from General Retail Stores to Child C	Care Services (36 Children).
Permit Details	
Class of Permit:	Contact Person:
Gross Floor Area (sq.m.): 371.6	Lot Grading Needed?: N
New Sewer Service Required: N	NumberOfMainFloorDwellings:
Site Area (sq. m.):	Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: (none)
I/We certify that the above noted details are correct.	
Applicant signature:	
Development Application Decision Refused	
Issue Date: Apr 23, 2019 Development Authority:KI	ENNEDY, CLARK
F	
THIS	S IS NOT A PERMIT
۱	

	A	Application	for	Project Number: 224518430-0 Application Date: FEB 27, 2 Printed: April 23, 2019 at 4:03 Page: 2
	Majoi	r Developmo	ent Permit	
the same address (Job #	: 224518430-025) wit ing reasons for refusal	h the exception that th l are the same as the pr	e proposed number o evious reasons for re	nilar to a previously refused application at of children has been decreased from 78 to efusal with exception that reason number
(CNC) Zone. Discretion	ary Uses means those pment Officer. In the	uses of land, building opinion of the Develo	s or structures for w	Neighbourhood Convenience Commercial hich Permits may be issued only at the roposed development is not a suitable use
	-			ay and on-Site outdoor play space, where nit for Rapid Drive-through Vehicle
Services building, the d	rive aisle for the queu	ing spaces is adjacent	to the building bay o	the bay of the Rapid Drive-through Vehic f the Child Care Service. In the opinion o ld create a greater safety concern than the
or odours which may be	nonceaore on aujacer	1 1 7		
or zoned for residential impacts be minimized of The location of the outo Rights of Appeal	activities, the Develop r negated. loor play space is imm ght of appeal within 2	oment Officer may, at nediately adjacent to th 1 days after the date o	the Development Of e Rear Yards of exis	ficer's discretion, require that these potent ting Single Detached Houses. is made, as outlined in Section 683
or zoned for residential impacts be minimized of The location of the outo Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the ri	activities, the Develop r negated. loor play space is imm ght of appeal within 2 icipal Government Ac	pment Officer may, at rediately adjacent to th 1 days after the date of t.	the Development Of e Rear Yards of exis n which the decision	ting Single Detached Houses.
or zoned for residential impacts be minimized of The location of the outo Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the ri through 689 of the Mun	activities, the Develop r negated. loor play space is imm ght of appeal within 2	oment Officer may, at nediately adjacent to th 1 days after the date o	the Development Of e Rear Yards of exis	ting Single Detached Houses.
or zoned for residential impacts be minimized of The location of the outo Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the ri through 689 of the Mun es Major Dev. Application Fee Total GST Amount:	activities, the Develop r negated. loor play space is imm ght of appeal within 2 icipal Government Ac Fee Amount \$331.00 \$0.00	ediately adjacent to th adjacent to th a days after the date of t. Amount Paid \$331.00	the Development Of e Rear Yards of exis n which the decision Receipt #	is made, as outlined in Section 683 Date Paid



Ν

ITEM III: 1:30 P.M.

FILE: SDAB-D-19-074

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER BY A COMMUNITY LEAGUE

APPELLANT:

APPLICATION NO.:

APPLICATION TO:

DECISION DATE:

DATE OF APPEAL:

NOTIFICATION PERIOD:

DECISION OF THE **DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:**

Approved with Conditions

Unenclosed Front Porch

March 26, 2019

293187436-001

April 18, 2019

March 26, 2019 through April 9, 2019

Construct a Duplex House with an

RESPONDENT:

MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

ZONE:

OVERLAY:

N/A

Garneau Area Redevelopment Plan

Grounds for Appeal

STATUTORY PLAN:

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

> The development officer has indicated that the proposed development complies with the zoning bylaw and that there are no variances to the development regulations. This is incorrect in this direct control district and under The Garneau Area Redevelopment Plan.

11012 - 84 Avenue NW Plan I23A Blk 161 Lot 3 DC1-Direct Development Control Provision

General Matters

The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board made and passed the following motion on April 24, 2019.

"That the appeal hearing be postponed to May 22 or May 23, 2019."

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

(3) Despite subsections (1) and (2), no appeal lies in respect of the issuance of a development permit for a permitted use unless the provisions of the land use bylaw were relaxed, varied or misinterpreted or the application for the development permit was deemed to be refused under section 683.1(8).

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of

that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,

or

- (ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or
- (b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

685(4) Despite subsections (1), (2) and (3), if a decision with respect to a development permit application in respect of a direct control district

- (a) ...
- (b) is made by a development authority, the appeal is limited to whether the development authority followed the directions of council, and if the subdivision and development appeal board finds that the development authority did not follow the directions it may, in accordance with the directions, substitute its decision for the development authority's decision.

<u>General Provisions from the DC1 (Bylaw 6221 – Sub Area 1) Direct Development</u> <u>Control Provision ("DC1"):</u>

Under Section <u>Uses</u>:

The following uses will be considered in this area:

•••

(3) Duplex Housing where the side lot line of the site abuts a site in an Industrial, Commercial, Row Housing, or Apartment District, or is not separated from it by a public

roadway more than 10m (32.8 ft.) wide.

(4) Duplex Housing, other than those which are permitted.

•••

The **Rationale** of the **DC1** is:

The Garneau Plan in Policy 1.1 identifies the subject area as a "Special Character Residential Area" contributing to the city as a whole a precinct of older detached

housing having interesting architectural detailing and variety in built form. This District is intended to encourage the retention and rehabilitation of existing structures while allowing for infill redevelopment. The regulations associated with this District are intended to ensure that all rehabilitation and redevelopment activities are sensitive to the existing character of both the built form and its relationship to existing streetscapes.

Under Section Development Criteria:

The following development criteria shall apply to developments within this District pursuant to Section 710.4 of the Land Use Bylaw.

- 1. The General Regulations and Special Land Use Provisions of the Land Use Bylaw.
- 2. The development regulations of the RF3 (Low Density Redevelopment) District, provided that the Development Officer may relax these regulations for individual applications, where such relaxations would assist in the achievement of the development criteria in Clauses 3, 4 and 5 below.
- 3. New developments or additions to existing buildings shall be compatible with the scale, massing and siting of adjacent buildings along the same street frontage.
- 4. The rehabilitation and renovation of existing buildings shall retain the original details of rooflines, doors and windows, trim, exterior finishing materials and similar architectural features to the greatest extent practical.
- 5. The design and appearance of new developments shall incorporate building details and finishing materials which are common to the domestic architecture of the turn of the century and early 1920's detached housing in the area.
- 6. Existing trees and vegetation shall be retained wherever possible and where removal for new construction is required, mature trees shall be planted to maintain the appearance of the streetscape.

Under Section Additional Information Requirements:

In addition to the information normally required for a development application under the Land Use Bylaw the Development Officer may also require such information required to explain how the proposed development meets the development criteria set out in (3) above and may include the following.

1. A narrative which includes a description of the architectural characteristics common in the immediate vicinity and how the application responds to these characteristics.

2. Streetscape elevations including adjacent properties.

Development Officer's Determination

You are receiving this notice because a Development Permit has been issued on a Direct Control Zone, pursuant to Section 12.4 and 20.3 of the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw.

Note: The proposed development complies with the Zoning Bylaw, and there are no variances to the development regulations. [unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	Project Number: 293187436-001 Application Date: SEP 20, 2018 Printed: April 23, 2019 at 9:22 AM Page: 1 of 3
Minor Dev	elopment Permit
This document is a record of a Development Permit application, a the limitations and conditions of this permit, of the Edmonton Zo	and a record of the decision for the undertaking described below, subject to ning Bylaw 12800 as amended.
Applicant	Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s)
	11012 - 84 AVENUE NW
	Plan I23A Blk 161 Lot 3
	Specific Address(es)
	Suite: 100, 11012 - 84 AVENUE NW
	Suite: 200, 11012 - 84 AVENUE NW
	Entryway: 11012 - 84 AVENUE NW
	Building: 11012 - 84 AVENUE NW
Scope of Permit	I
To construct a Duplex House with an Unenclosed Front Por	ch.
Permit Details	
# of Dwalling Units Add/Damaria:)	# of Primary Devalling Units To Construct
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 2 # of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To Construct: 1	# of Primary Dwelling Units To Construct: Class of Permit: Class B
Client File Reference Number:	Lot Grading Needed?: Y
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Duplex House	New Sewer Service Required: Y
Secondary Suite Included ?: N	Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: Mature Neighbourhood
	Overlay
I/We certify that the above noted details are correct.	
Applicant signature:	
Development Permit Decision	
Approved	
Issue Date: Mar 26, 2019 Development Authority: YEU	NG. KENNETH
r	

 Project Number:
 293187436-001

 Application Date:
 SEP 20, 2018

 Printed:
 April 23, 2019 at 9:22 AM

 Page:
 2 of 3

Minor Development Permit

Subject to the Following Conditions

This Development Permit is not valid until the Notification Period expires in accordance to Section 21.1. (Reference Section 17.1)

PRIOR TO THE RELEASE OF DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING PERMIT REVIEW, the applicant or property owner shall pay a Sanitary Sewer Trunk Fund fee of \$1,629.00/Dwelling (2019 rate). All assessments are based upon information currently available to the City. The SSTF charges are quoted for the calendar year in which the development permit is granted. The final applicable rate is subject to change based on the year in which the payment is collected by the City of Edmonton.

This Development Permit authorizes the development of a Duplex House with an Unenclosed Front Porch.

WITHIN 14 DAYS OF APPROVAL, prior to any demolition or construction activity, the applicant must post on-site a development permit notification sign (Section 20.2)

The development shall be constructed in accordance with the stamped and approved drawings.

Landscaping shall be installed and maintained in accordance with Section 55.

The design and appearance of new developments shall incorporate building details and finishing materials which are common to the domestic architecture of the turn of the century and early 1920's detached housing in the area (Bylaw 6220).

Existing trees and vegetation shall be retained wherever possible and where removal for new construction is required, mature trees shall be planted to maintain the appearance of the streetscape (Bylaw 6220).

Frosted or opaque glass treatment shall be used on conflicting windows to minimize overlook into adjacent properties.

Dwelling means a self contained unit comprised of one or more rooms accommodating sitting, sleeping, sanitary facilities, and a principal kitchen for food preparation, cooking, and serving. A Dwelling is used permanently or semi-permanently as a residence for a single Household.

Household means: (1) one or more persons related by blood, adoption, foster care, marriage relationship; or (2) a maximum of three unrelated persons; all living together as a single social and economic housekeeping group and using cooking facilities shared in common.

For the purposes of this definition, two people living together in an adult interdependence relationship shall be deemed to be in a marriage relationship and each of the relatives of the parties to an adult interdependence relationship shall be considered to be related to the partners and to the other relatives thereof. One domestic worker or one boarder may be deemed the equivalent of a blood relative.

ADVISEMENT:

Any future deck development greater than 0.6m (2ft) in height will require development and building permit approvals.

Note that Secondary Suite Use Class is not a listed Use in this DC1 Zone (Bylaw 6220).

The driveway access must maintain a minimum clearance of 1.5m from the service pedestal and all other surface utilities.

Lot grades must match the Edmonton Drainage Bylaw 16200 and/or comply with the Engineered approved lot grading plans for the area. Contact Drainage Services at 780-496-5576 or lot.grading@edmonton.ca for lot grading inspection inquiries.

Unless otherwise stated, all above references to "section numbers" refer to the authority under the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.

An approved Development Permit means that the proposed development has been reviewed against the provisions of this bylaw. It does not remove obligations to conform with other legislation, bylaws or land title instruments including, but not limited to, the Municipal Government Act, the Safety Codes Act or any caveats, restrictive covenants or easements that might be attached to the

				Project Number: 293187436- Application Date: SEP 20, Printed: April 23, 2019 at 9:22 Page:
	Mino	r Developi	nent Permit	
Site.				
A Building Permit is require information.	ed for any constr	uction or change in u	use of a building. Please	e contact the 311 Call Centre for further
Variances				
		elopment Permit has	been issued on a Direc	t Control Zone, pursuant to Section 12.4
Note: The proposed develop	oment complies v	with the Zoning Byla	aw, and there are no var	iances to the development regulations.
Rights of Appeal This approval is subject to th Amendment Act. Notice Period Begins:Apr	•	l as outlined in Chap Ends: Apr 23, 20		ough 689 of the Municipal Government
s				
	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid
Operations Conversion Transfer Front			Receipt #	
Sanitary Sewer Trunk Fund (Secondary/Garden Suite)	\$0.00	\$0.00	8052470352510010	Mar 21, 2019
Sanitary Sewer Trunk Fund	\$1,629.00	\$1,629.00	05729022	Mar 21, 2019
Dev. Application Fee	\$485.00	\$485.00	8052470352510010	Feb 19, 2019
Lot Grading Fee	\$143.00	\$143.00	8052470352510010	Feb 19, 2019
Development Permit Inspection Fee	\$204.00	\$204.00	8052470352510010	Feb 19, 2019
Total GST Amount:	\$0.00			
Totals for Permit:	\$2,461.00	\$2,461.00		



26