SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Thursday, 9:00 A.M. May 30, 2019

Hearing Room No. 3 Churchill Building, 10019 - 103 Avenue NW, Edmonton, AB

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD HEARING ROOM NO. 3

	NOTE:		nted, all references to "section numbers" refer to the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.
			10622 - 69 Street NW Project No.: 257884965-010
			To leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height).
III	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-079	
			10620 - 69 Street NW Project No.: 257883235-010
			To leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height).
II	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-078	
			Project No.: 274203217-013
			10808 - 129 Street NW
			To construct exterior alterations to a Single Detached House (increase finished floor height) existing without permits
Ι	9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-19-077	

<u>ITEM I: 9:00 A</u>	<u>M.</u>	FILE: SDAB-D-19-077		
	AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE	HE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER		
	APPELLANT:			
	APPLICATION NO.:	274203217-013		
APPLICATION TO:		Construct exterior alterations to a Single Detached House (increase finished floor height), existing without permits		
	DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused		
	DECISION DATE:	May 1, 2019		
	DATE OF APPEAL:	May 1, 2019		
	MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	10808 - 129 Street NW		
	LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 1821662 Blk 22 Lot 20		
	ZONE:	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone		
	OVERLAY:	Mature Neighbourhood Overlay		
	STATUTORY PLAN:	West Ingle Area Redevelopment Plan		

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

The height variance of 0.2 metres (0.66 feet) from the peak and 0.3 metres (0.98 feet) from the midpoint does not unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, nor materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land. The reasons for our appeal include, but are not limited to:

- a. The Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the RF1 Single Detached Residential Zone.
- b. The built form of the Single Detached House is consistent with the surrounding neighbourhood context given the majority of the

existing Single Detached Houses within the 60-metre radius, and blockface are also two storeys. In addition, the proportion of two storey homes will likely increase into the future.

- c. The height variance of 0.2 metres (0.66 feet) from the peak and 0.3 metres (0.98 feet) from the midpoint is so small within the context of the entire built form, it would be extremely difficult to almost impossible for the human-eye to identify a variance in height.
- d. The proposed development is consistent with the increased densification policy objectives of the Municipal Development Plan, The Way We Grow, specifically Policy 3.1.1.2 to encourage a minimum of 25 percent of city-housing unit growth to locate in the Downtown and mature neighbourhoods and around LRT stations and transit centres where infrastructure capacity supports development (i.e. Westmount Transit Centre).

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

- •••
- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,
 - and
 - (ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 110.2(5), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone.

Under section 7.2(8), Single Detached Housing means:

development consisting of a building containing one principal Dwelling which is separate from any other principal Dwelling or building. This Use includes Mobile Homes which conform to Section 78 of this Bylaw.

Under section 6.1, Height means "a vertical distance between two points."

Section 110.1 states that the **General Purpose** of **(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone** is:

to provide for Single Detached Housing while allowing other forms of small scale housing in the form of Secondary Suites, Garden Suites, Semi-detached Housing and Duplex Housing.

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Mature Neighbourhood Overlay - Height

Section 814.3(5) states "The maximum Height shall not exceed 8.9 m."

Development Officer's Determination

Height - The house shall not exceed a Height of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5)
Proposed Height: 9.2m
Exceeds by: 0.3m [unedited]

Height and Grade

Section 52.2(c) states:

In determining whether a development conforms to the maximum Height permissible in any Zone, the following regulations shall apply:

•••

c. Where the maximum Height as determined by Section 52.1 is measured to the midpoint, the ridge line of the roof shall not extend

more than 1.5 m above the maximum permitted building Height of the Zone or overlay, or in the case of a Garden Suite the maximum permitted building Height in accordance with <u>Section 87</u> of this Bylaw.

Development Officer's Determination

2. Height - The house roof ridge line shall not extend more than 1.5m above the permitted building Height of 8.9m (Section 52.2.c). Maximum ridge height: 10.4m (8.9m + 1.5m) Proposed ridge height: 10.6m Exceeds by: 0.2m [unedited]

Community Consultation

Section 814.5(1) states the following with respect to Proposed Variances:

When the Development Officer receives a Development Permit Application for a new principal building, or a new Garden Suite that does not comply with any regulation contained within this Overlay, or receives a Development Permit for alterations to an existing structure that require a variance to Section 814.3(1), 814.3(3), 814.3(5) and 814.3(9) of this Overlay:

- a. the Development Officer shall send notice, to the recipient parties specified in Table 814.5(2), to outline any requested variances to the Overlay and solicit comments directly related to the proposed variance;
- b. the Development Officer shall not render a decision on the Development Permit application until 21 days after notice has been sent, unless the Development Officer receives feedback from the specified affected parties in accordance with Table 814.5(2); and
- c. the Development Officer shall consider any comments directly related to the proposed variance when determining whether to approve the Development Permit Application in accordance with Sections 11.3 and 11.4.

Section 814.5(2) states:

Tier #	Recipient Parties	Affected Parties	Regulation of this Overlay
			to be Varied
Tier 1	The municipal address and assessed owners of the land wholly or partially located within a	of the land wholly or partially located within a distance of	
	distance of 60.0 metres	60.0 metres of the	

Hearing Date: Thursday, May 30, 2019

			Site of the proposed
			development and the
and the	e President	of	President of each
each	Commu	nity	Community League
League			

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

	A	Application	for	Project Number: 2742 Application Date: Printed: May 1, 20 Page:	03217-013 FEB 22, 2019 019 at 10:59 AM 1 of 2
		Addition Pe	rmit		
This document is a Development Pe	ermit Decision for th	e development applica	tion described below	v.	
Applicant			perty Address(es) a 10808 - 129 STREE Plan 1821662 I ation(s) of Work		
		Entry	way: 10808 - 129 S ing: 10808 - 129 S		
Scope of Application To construct exterior alteration Permit Details	ns to a Single Detach	ned House (increase fin	nished floor height),	existing without permits.	
Class Of Permit: Class B Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: Mat Overlay	ure Neighbourhood	Site A	rea (sq. m.): 325.03		
I/We certify that the above noted deta	uls are correct.				
Applicant signature:					
Issue Date: May 01, 2019 D Reason for Refusal 1. Height - The house sha Proposed Height: 9.2m Exceeds by: 0.3m 2. Height - The house roo Maximum ridge height: 1 Proposed ridge height: 1 Exceeds by: 0.2m	all not exceed a Heig of ridge line shall not 10.4m (8.9m + 1.5m)	ht of 8.9m (Section 81 extend more than 1.5p	4.3.5)	ed building Height of 8.9m (Sec	tion 52.2.c).
Rights of Appeal The Applicant has the rig through 689 of the Munic			n which the decision	is made, as outlined in Section	683
Building Permit Decision Refused					
Fees					
Development Application Fee Mail Out Fee	Fee Amount \$425.00 (\$25.00)	Amount Paid \$425.00	Receipt # 05660409	Date Paid Feb 22, 2019	
		THIS IS NOT A PE			

	Application for Addition Permit			Project Number: 274203217-(Application Date: FEB 22, Printed: May 1, 2019 at 10:59		
Guidelia				Page: 2 o		
Fees						
Total GST Amount:	Fee Amount \$0.00	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid		
Totals for Permit: (overpaid by (\$25.00))	\$400.00	\$425.00				
		THIS IS NOT A PE	RMIT			



11

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER				
APPELLANT:				
APPLICATION NO.:	257883235-010 257884965-010			
APPLICATION TO:	Leave as built a Single Detached House (increase building height).			
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused			
DECISION DATE:	April 15, 2019			
DATE OF APPEAL:	May 3, 2019			
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	10620 - 69 Street NW 10622 – 69 Street NW			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 1720067 Blk 46 Lot 48 Plan 1720067 Blk 46 Lot 47			
ZONE:	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone			
OVERLAY:	Mature Neighbourhood Overlay			
STATUTORY PLAN:	N/A			

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

Height is 1 ft higher than initial approved DP.

We are aware that objections have been raised.

We tried getting support from neighbors, however their mindset is that they are strongly against "skinnies" and will not support it. Lots of neighbors have sign regards to NO LOT SPLIT plus restricted covenant. Further, Community league does not wish to get involved.

Hearing Date: Thursday, May 30, 2019

Another reason from immediate neighbors is that this new house is blocking Sunlight to their houses----Our observation is that there is already tons of mature trees in close proximity to our project-Which means this has been the norm even prior to the project.

Strongly recommend the Appeals board team to do a site visit to check if the 1 ft height looks too obvious or an eye soar.

Our Surveyor has suggested that we can raise grades by 6 inches if needed, however this will still mean, we will be out by 0.6 inches

Our best hope is that Appeal's Board team will understand that this is a honest, plus 1st and last mistake from our Company and come up with a reasonable decision.

I will upload photos of neighbors houses with trees blocking Sun for review.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, [...]

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the subdivision and development appeal board

- •••
- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clauses (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,
 - and
 - (ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 110.2(5), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone.

Under section 7.2(8), Single Detached Housing means:

development consisting of a building containing one principal Dwelling which is separate from any other principal Dwelling or building. This Use includes Mobile Homes which conform to Section 78 of this Bylaw.

Under section 6.1, Height means "a vertical distance between two points."

Section 110.1 states that the General Purpose of (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone is:

to provide for Single Detached Housing while allowing other forms of small scale housing in the form of Secondary Suites, Garden Suites, Semi-detached Housing and Duplex Housing.

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Mature Neighbourhood Overlay - Height

Section 814.3(5) states "The maximum Height shall not exceed 8.9 m."

Development Officer's Determination (16020 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-078)

1. Height - The house was built 9.2m high (to the midpoint), instead of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5) [unedited]

Development Officer's Determination (16022 – 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-079)

1. Height - The house was built 9.3m high (to the midpoint), instead of 8.9m (Section 814.3.5) [unedited]

Height and Grade

Section 52.2(c) states:

In determining whether a development conforms to the maximum Height permissible in any Zone, the following regulations shall apply:

•••

c. Where the maximum Height as determined by Section 52.1 is measured to the midpoint, the ridge line of the roof shall not extend more than 1.5 m above the maximum permitted building Height of the Zone or overlay, or in the case of a Garden Suite the maximum permitted building Height in accordance with <u>Section 87</u> of this Bylaw.

Development Officer's Determination (16020 - 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-078)

2. Height - The house was built 10.6m high (to the peak), instead of 10.4m (Section 52.2(c)) [unedited]

Development Officer's Determination (16022 - 69 Street NW / SDAB-D-19-079)

2. Height - The house was built 10.8m high (to the peak), instead of 10.4m (Section 52.2(c)) [unedited]

Community Consultation

Section 814.5(1) states the following with respect to Proposed Variances:

When the Development Officer receives a Development Permit Application for a new principal building, or a new Garden Suite that does not comply with any regulation contained within this Overlay, or receives a Development Permit for alterations to an existing structure that require a variance to Section 814.3(1), 814.3(3), 814.3(5) and 814.3(9) of this Overlay:

- a. the Development Officer shall send notice, to the recipient parties specified in Table 814.5(2), to outline any requested variances to the Overlay and solicit comments directly related to the proposed variance;
- b. the Development Officer shall not render a decision on the Development Permit application until 21 days after notice has been sent, unless the Development Officer receives feedback from the specified affected parties in accordance with Table 814.5(2); and
- c. the Development Officer shall consider any comments directly related to the proposed variance when determining whether to approve the Development Permit Application in accordance with Sections 11.3 and 11.4.

Section 814.5(2) states:

Tier #	Recipient Parties	Affected Parties	Regulation of this Overlay to be Varied
Tier 1	The municipal address	The assessed owners	814.3(5) - Height

and assessed owners of	of the land wholly or	
the land wholly or	partially located	
partially located within a	within a distance of	
distance of 60.0 metres	60.0 metres of the	
of the Site of the	Site of the proposed	
proposed development	development and the	
and the President of	President of each	
each Community	Community League	
League		

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

THE CITY OF				Project Number: 257883235-010 Application Date: SEP 07, 201
		Application	for	Printed: April 15, 2019 at 2:13 PM Page: 1 of
		••		
	Mino	r Developn	ient Permit	
This document is a Development Per	mit Decision for th	he development appli	cation described below	W.
Applicant		P		and Legal Description(s)
			10620 - 69 STREET Plan 1720067 1	
			Flair 1720007	DIK 40 L01 48
Scope of Application				
To leave as built a Single Detac	hed House (increa	se building height).		
Permit Details				
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 0		# o:	f Primary Dwelling Units T	o Construct:
# of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To	Construct:		ss of Permit: Class B	
Client File Reference Number:		Lot	Grading Needed?: N	
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Exterior A	Alterations (Res.)		w Sewer Service Required:	
Secondary Suite Included ?: N			t. Plan Overlay/Annex Area erlay	: Mature Neighbourhood
I/We certify that the above noted details	are correct.			
Applicant signature:			-	
Development Application Decision Refused				
Issue Date: Apr 15, 2019 Dev	elopment Autho	rity:BAUER, KERR	Y	
Reason for Refusal				
1. Height - The house was l	built 9.2m high (to	o the midpoint), inste	ad of 8.9m (Section 8	14.3.5)
2. Height - The house was l	built 10.6m high (to the peak), instead	of 10.4m (Section 52.2	2(c))
Rights of Appeal				
	of appeal within 2	21 days after the date	on which the decision	is made, as outlined in Section 683
through 689 of the Municip	al Government A	ct.		
Free				
Fees	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid
Existing Without Permit Penalty Fee	\$170.00	\$170.00	05350631	Sep 21, 2018
Dev. Application Fee	\$170.00	\$170.00	05350631	Sep 21, 2018
Total GST Amount:	\$0.00			
Totals for Permit:	\$340.00	\$340.00		
		THIS IS NOT A P	ERMIT	

THE CITY OF				Project Number: 257884965-0 Application Date: SEP 07, 2	
				Printed: April 15, 2019 at 2:14	
	A	Applicatio	n for	Page: 1	
	Mino	r Develop	ment Permit		
This document is a Development Perr	nit Decision for th	ie development apj	lication described belo	w.	
Applicant			Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s)		
			10622 - 69 STREET NW Plan 1720067 Blk 46 Lot 47		
			Plan 1/2000/	BIK 40 Lot 4/	
Scope of Application To leave as built a Single Detacl	ued House (increa	se huilding height)			
Permit Details	icu mouse (merea	se ounding neight)			
# of Dwelling Units Add/Remove: 0		#	of Primary Dwelling Units T	'o Construct:	
# of Secondary Suite Dwelling Units To	Construct:	C	lass of Permit: Class B		
Client File Reference Number:		I	ot Grading Needed?: N		
Minor Dev. Application Fee: Exterior A	Iterations (Res.)		ew Sewer Service Required:		
Secondary Suite Included ?: N			tat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area iverlay	a: Mature Neighbourhood	
I/We certify that the above noted details	are correct.				
Applicant signature:					
Development Application Decision Refused					
Issue Date: Apr 15, 2019 Dev	elopment Author	rity:BAUER, KER	RY		
Reason for Refusal					
1. Height - The house was b	ouilt 9.3m high (to	the midpoint), ins	tead of 8.9m (Section 8	14.3.5)	
2. Height - The house was b	ouilt 10.8m high (t	to the peak), instea	d of 10.4m (Section 52.	2(c))	
		•			
Rights of Appeal					
		-	te on which the decisio	n is made, as outlined in Section 683	
through 689 of the Municip	al Government Ac	xt.			
Fees	Tee Amount	A	D		
Day Application Fee	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid	
Dev. Application Fee Existing Without Permit Penalty Fee	\$170.00 \$170.00	\$170.00 \$170.00	05350630 05350630	Sep 21, 2018 Sep 21, 2018	
Total GST Amount:	\$0.00			• • • •	
Totals for Permit:	\$340.00	\$340.00			
		THIS IS NOT A	PERMIT		



20



21