SUBDIVISION

AND

DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

AGENDA

Thursday, 9:00 A.M. September 23, 2021

TC I) BE RAISED 9:00 A.M.	SDAB-D-21-148	
			Install one (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES)
			11010 - 101 Street NW Project No.: 398332845-002
II	10:30 A.M.	SDAB-D-21-156	
			Install a Freestanding On-Premises Sign (CHRISTENSON DEVELOPMENTS)
			1581 - Glastonbury Boulevard NW Project No.: 393269073-002
III	1:30 P.M.	SDAB-D-21-157	
			Construct a (side and top floor) addition to an existing Accessory building (detached Garage, 12.95 meters by 7.32 metres at 6.4 metres in height)
			10515 - 140 Street NW Project No.: 386056469-002
	NOTE:		all references to "Section numbers" in this Agenda oder the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw 12800.

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

TO BE RAISED

ITEM I: 9:00 A.M.

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISI	ON OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
APPELLANT:	
APPLICATION NO.:	398332845-002
APPLICATION TO:	Install (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES)
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused
DECISION DATE:	August 10, 2021
DATE OF APPEAL:	August 17, 2021
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	11010 - 101 Street NW
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 8222283 Blk 1 Lots 319B,319C
ZONE:	(CB2) General Business Zone
OVERLAY:	Main Streets Overlay
STATUTORY PLAN:	Central McDougall / Queen Mary Park Area Redevelopment Plan

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

My name is Charlon and I work for City Image Signs, on behalf of our customer NorthWest Healthcare Properties. In summary we would like to appeal for an approval for the installation of a new single sided tenant cabinet on the north elevation of the HYS Building. Our Customer would like provide more exposure to the business tenant that are in the HYS Centre building.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

•••

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal the decision in accordance with subsection (2.1).

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,

- (ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or
- (b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the board hearing the appeal referred to in subsection (1)

• • •

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clause (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

5

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 340.2(34), a Fascia On-premises Sign is a Permitted Use in the (CB2) General Business Zone.

Under section 7.9(1), **Fascia On-premises Signs** means "a Fascia Sign, which is a Permanent Sign, displays Off-premises Advertising and contains no Digital Copy."

Under section 6.2, a Fascia Sign means:

a Sign that is painted on or attached to an exterior building wall, or any other permitted structure, on which a two dimensional representation may be placed. Fascia Signs do not extend more than 40 cm out from the building wall or structure. Fascia Signs include banners or any other two dimensional medium.



Section 340.1 states that the General Purpose of the (CB2) General Business Zone is:

to provide for businesses that require large Sites and a location with good visibility and accessibility along, or adjacent to, major public roadways. This zone also accommodates limited Residential-related uses.

Section 819.1 states that the General Purpose of the Main Streets Overlay is:

to encourage and strengthen the pedestrian-oriented character of Edmonton's main street commercial areas that are located in proximity to residential and transit-oriented areas, by providing visual interest, transparent storefront displays, and amenities for pedestrians.

Schedule 59E

Schedule 59E.2(1)(a) states "Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane."

Development Officer's Determination

1) Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane;

The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned DC2(1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west.

[unedited]

Main Streets Overlay - Signs

Section 819.5(2)(a) states:

Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw, [...]

Development Officer's Determination

2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw.

The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment. Specifically:

a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view by pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle, rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.

[unedited]

Community Consultation

Section 819.5(3) states:

When the Development Officer determines that a Development Permit application does not comply with the regulations contained in this section of the Overlay the notification process outlined in subsection 819.4(15) shall apply.

Section 819.4(15) states:

When the Development Officer determines that a Development Permit application does not comply with the regulations contained in this Overlay:

- a. the Development Officer shall send notice to the municipal address and assessed owners of land wholly or partly located within a distance of 60.0 m of the Site of the proposed development, and the President of each affected Community League and each Business Improvement Area Association operating within the distance described above to outline any requested variances to the Overlay and solicit comments directly related to the proposed variance;
- b. the Development Officer shall not render a decision on the Development Permit application until 21 days after notice has been mailed, unless the Development Officer receives feedback from all specified recipients; and
- c. the Development Officer shall consider any comments directly related to the proposed variance when determining whether to approve the Development Permit application in accordance with Section 11.3.

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Sign Permit Sign Permit This document is a Development Permit Decision for the development application described below. Applicant Property Address(e) and Legal Description(s) 11010 - 101 STREET NW Pan 8222283 Blk 1 Lots 319B,319C Location(s) of Work Entrywny: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Building: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Scope of Application To instal (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Permit Details AA bicker No. Name of Enginee: 1948 Connections Value: 1000 Premotion Off-premises Sign: 0 Precisit On-premise Sign: 0 Protein On-premise Sign: 0 Protein On-premise Sign: 0 Mase Dignt On-premise Sign: 0 Mase Dignt On-premises Sign: 0 Mase Dignt On-premise Sign: 0 Mase Dignt Sign: 0 Mase Dig	€dmonton	Ap	oject Number: 398332845-002 plication Date: JUN 03, 2021 nted: August 10, 2021 at 1:44 PM ge: 1 of 2
This document is a Development Permit Decision for the development application described below. Applicant Property Address(ex) and Legal Description(s) 11010 - 101 STREET NW Publication Scope of Application Location(s) of Work To install (1) Facia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Premati Details AtA black No.Nume of Engines: 1040 Class of Permit Construction Value: 1000 Presenting Off-premises Sign: 0 Pack of Orpremise Sign: 0 Presenting Off-premises Sign: 0 Pack off-premise Sign: 0 Presenting Off-premises Sign: 0 Nince Digit Off-premise Sign: 0 Presenting Sign: 0 Nince Digit Off-premise Sign: 0 Nince Digit Sign: 0 Nince Digit Off-premise Sign: 0 Nince Digit Sign: 0 Development Applic			
Applicant Property Addrets(e) and Legal Description(s) 11010 - 101 STREET NW Pin 8222283 Bit 1 Lots 319B,319C Location of Work Entryway: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Building: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Scope of Application To install (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Permit Details At Studer No Nume of Engineer: 1963 Construction Value: 1000 Class of Pennic Exploy Date. Pacia Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacia Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacia Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacia Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacie Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacie Off-pennies Sign: 0 Pacient Off-pennies Sign: 0 Maner Digital On Ster. (1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed ing mole net face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed ing in on the onther with Schedule Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly face the network hall be provided in accordian with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed in star on in accordine with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Off-er, the proposed Fascia Sign does not anexet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment spectically. A) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is whicle orientend and focused primarily to be legible and read w			
The second se	This document is a Development Permit Decision	for the development application described below.	
Location(s) of Work Entryway: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Building: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Building: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Scope of AppEation To install (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Premit Details ASA Sticks No.Name of Engines: 8963 Consortions Value: 8000 Consortions Value: 8000 Pascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestmating Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestmeting Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestmeting Off-premises Sign: 0 Miser Diptic Off-premises Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refued I sure Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 819-5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E, of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is	Applicant		Description(s)
Entryway: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Building: 11010 - 101 STREET NW Scope of Application To install (1) Facia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Permit Details As fisher No.Num of Engines:: 8969 Class of Premit: As fisher No.Num of Engines:: 8969 Class of Premit: Engine Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Marce Digits Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Marce Digits Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Occupreheasive Sign: 0 Marce Digits Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Occupreheasive Sign: 0 Marce Digits Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digits Sign: 0 Major Digits Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refuted Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority:NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section S195 5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordanc with Schedule S9E of this Bylaw. 1) Section S19.5(2) - Signs s		Plan 8222283 Blk 1 Lots	319B,319C
Building 11010 - 101 STREET NW Scope of Application To install (1) Faccia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Permit Details ASA Sticker No.Nume of Engineet: 1940 Construction Value: 1000 Class of Permit: Equip Date: Parcia Off-premises Sign: 0 Parcia Off-premises Sign: 0 Parcia Off-premises Sign: 0 Proteinanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Maker Digital On-Premises Sign: 0 Maker Digital On-Off-premises Sign: 0 Maker Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused I State Date: Ang 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Resons for Refused I) Section S195 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two tridel lots zoored D (1086) mad CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Maxed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to d weet. .) Section 819 5(2). Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordanc with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fasci		Location(s) of Work	
Scope of Application To install (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Premit Details A5A Sticker No.Name of Engineer: 8943 Construction Value: 1000 Class of Premite Expiry Date: Pascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Pascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Reof Off-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Mace Digital Os-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Mace Digital Os-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Mace Digital Os-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Mace Digital Os-premises Sign: 0 Presentating Off-premises Sign: 0 Mace Digital Os-premises Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refixed Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 89E 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two fitted lots zoned D (1066) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to d west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordana with		Entryway: 11010 - 101 STREET N	w
To install (1) Fascia On-Premises Sign (NORTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES). Permit Details ASA Sticker No.Name of Engineer: 8963 Class of Permit: Expiry Date: Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Reof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Of-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding State: 0 Miner Digital Of-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding State: 0 Miner Digital Of-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Paul on Existing Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1066) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to twest. 2) Section 819 5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pede		Building: 11010 - 101 STREET N	w
ASA Sticker No.Nume of Engineer: 1943 Class of Pennit: Exploy Desc. Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Pacia Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ob-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ob-premises Sign: 0 Replication Decision Refused Compremises Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reson for Refusal 1) Section \$19.5(2).(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west. 2) Section \$19.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrianItemate the intent of Section \$19.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically. a) t		RTHWEST HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES).	
Construction Value: 1000 Empty Date: Pascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-Premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-Premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Occuprent Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section SPE 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th wet. 2) Section 819 5(2). Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it locate	Permit Details		
Construction Value: 1000 Empty Date: Pascia Off-premises Sign: 0 Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-Premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Prestanding Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital Off-Premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Occuprent Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section SPE 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th wet. 2) Section 819 5(2). Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it locate	ASA Sticker No /Name of Engineer: \$963	Class of Permit	
Fascia Ou-premises Sign: 1 Preestanding Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof Ou-premises Sign: 0 Prejecting OU-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Prejecting OU-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof Ou-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof Ou-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Dupted Out-Premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Ang 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section SPE 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1066) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to Imman scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view peetitically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to Imman scale, nor is it located in a position that i	-		
Fascia Ou-premises Sign: 1 Preestanding Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof OU-premises Sign: 0 Prejecting OU-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Prejecting OU-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof Duppermises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-premises Sign: 0 Roof Duppermises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof Duppermises Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Miner Digital Ou-Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section SPE 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (0.666) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to Imman scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view peetitically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to Imman scale, nor is it located in a position that is desig			
Roof Off-premises Sign: 0 Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0 Roof On-premises Sign: 0 Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0 Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 Minor Digital On-Off-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not mact the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Sign does not mater the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented	Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0	Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0	
Roof On-premises Sign: 0 Prejecting On-premises Sign: 0 Miner Digital On-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Pasal on Existing Sign: 0 Miner Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Design: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrian. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	Fascia On-premises Sign: 1	Freestanding On-premises Sign: 0	
Miner Digital On-premises Sign: 0 Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0 Miner Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. 2) Section \$19.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordant with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign does not face with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	Roof Off-premises Sign: 0	Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0	
Miner Digital Off-premises Sign: 0 Comprehensive Sign Design: 0 Miner Digital OutOff-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E 2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. 2) Section \$19.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordant with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section \$19.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestriam. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	Roof On-premises Sign: 0	Projecting On-premises Sign: 0	
Minor Digital Ou/Off-premises Sign: 0 Major Digital Sign: 0 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal 1) Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0	Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0	
 Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestriams. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eve level; The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 	Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 0	Comprehensive Sign Design: 0	
 Refused Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development Authority: NOORMAN, BRENDA Reason for Refusal Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. Section \$19.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section \$19.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 	Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 0	Major Digital Sign: 0	
 Reason for Refusal Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to th west. Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordan with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above evel level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 			
 Section 59E.2(1)(a): Fascia On-premises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a Lane; The proposed sign does not face the public roadway. It is separated from a public roadway to the north by two titled lots zoned D (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west. Section \$19.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordant with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section \$19.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 	Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 Development A	uthority: NOORMAN, BRENDA	
 (1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently faces the Mixed Use tower (CB3). The sign indirectly faces the residential tower (RA9) to the west. 2) Section 819.5(2) - Signs shall complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and shall be provided in accordant with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 		iises Signs shall only face a public roadway other than a L	ane;
 with Schedule 59E of this Bylaw. The proposed sign is not in accordance with Schedule 59E, and in the opinion of the Development Officer, the proposed Fascia Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environmen Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 	(1086) and CB3 Zone, and currently fac		
 Sign does not meet the intent of Section 819.5(2), in that it does not complement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment Specifically: a) the size of the proposed Fascia Sign is not in proportion to human scale, nor is it located in a position that is designed for view pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk. 		ement the pedestrian-oriented commercial environment and	d shall be provided in accordance
pedestrians. Human-scale means signs that are conducive to being used and interpreted while walking, typically at just above eye level; b) The size and scale of the Fascia Sign is vehicle oriented and focused primarily to be legible and read while in a moving vehicle rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	Sign does not meet the intent of Section		
rather than walking on the adjacent sidewalk.	pedestrians. Human-scale means signs t		
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT			l read while in a moving vehicle,
THIS IS NOT A FERMIT		THIS IS NOT A PERMIT	

OF appeal TO THE E decision IS made 9 OF THE Municip	AS outlined	n AND Development Aj IN Chapter M-26,	ppeal Board (SDAB) WITHIN 21 days Date Paid Jun 03, 2021
OF appeal TO THE E decision IS made 9 OF THE Municip Amount An \$120.00 \$0.00	E Subdivision AS outlined al Governme nount Paid \$120.00	n AND Development Aj IN Chapter M-26, ent Act. Receipt #	Date Paid
\$120.00 \$0.00	\$120.00	-	
\$120.00 \$0.00	\$120.00	-	
\$0.00		-	Jun 03, 2021
	\$120.00		
\$120.00	\$120.00		
THIS	IS NOT A I	PERMIT	
	THIS	THIS IS NOT A	THIS IS NOT A PERMIT





ITEM II: 10:30 A.M.

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECISI	ON OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
APPELLANT:	
APPLICATION NO.:	393269073-002
APPLICATION TO:	Install a Freestanding On-Premises Sign (CHRISTENSON DEVELOPMENTS)
DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused
DECISION DATE:	August 6, 2021
DATE OF APPEAL:	August 26, 2021
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	1581 - Glastonbury Boulevard NW
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 0720651 Unit 3
ZONE:	(RA7) Low Rise Apartment Zone
OVERLAY:	N/A
STATUTORY PLAN(S):	Glastonbury Neighbourhood Structure Plan The Grange Area Structure Plan

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

This is a temporary screening for the proposed building on this site. Once framing of the building is up this is planned to be mounted to the side of the building while under construction.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

•••

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal the decision in accordance with subsection (2.1).

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,

or

(ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or

(b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the board hearing the appeal referred to in subsection (1)

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clause (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;
- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the Edmonton Zoning Bylaw:

Under section 210.3(14), a Freestanding On-premises Sign is a Discretionary Use in the (RA7) Low Rise Apartment Zone.

Under section 7.9(4), **Freestanding On-premises Signs** means "a Freestanding Sign, which is a Permanent Sign, displays On-premises Advertising and contains no Digital Copy."

Section 210.4(20) states "Signs shall comply with the regulations found in Schedule 59B."

Section 210.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(RA7) Low Rise Apartment Zone** is "To provide a Zone for low rise Multi-unit Housing."

Schedule 59B

Schedule 59B.3(1)(c) states "the maximum Height for Freestanding On-premises Signs shall be 1.8 m."

Schedule 59B.3(1)(d) states "the maximum Area for any Freestanding On-premises Sign shall not exceed 3 m2."

Under section 6.2, **Height Signs** means "the vertical distance measured from the finished ground surface directly under the Sign to the highest point of the Sign."

Under section 6.2, **Sign Area** means "the entire area of the Sign on which Copy is intended to be placed. In the case of double-faced or multi-faced Sign, only half of the area of each face of the Sign used to display advertising Copy shall be used in calculating the total Sign Area."

Development Officer's Determination

1. Section 59B.3(1)(c) - the maximum Height for Freestanding On-premises Signs shall be 1.8 m;

Proposed: 5.49 m Exceeds by: 3.69 m

Under the Zoning By-law the Development Officer does not have the authority to vary Height.

2. Section 59B.3(1)(d) -the maximum Area for any Freestanding On-premises Sign shall not exceed 3 m2;

Proposed: 89 m2 Exceeds by: 86 m2

The variance unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood and/or materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment, or value of neighbouring properties.

[unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Edmonton		9073-002 APR 23, 2021 221 at 1:57 PM 1 of 2
	Sign Permit	
This document is a Development Permit	on for the development application described below.	
Applicant	Property Address(es) and Legal Description(s) 1581 - GLASTONBURY BOULEVARD NW	
	Plan 0720651 Unit 3	
Scope of Application To install a Freestanding On-Premi	n (CHRISTENSON DEVELOPMENTS)	
Permit Details		
ASA Sticker No./Name of Engineer: Construction Value: 5000	Class of Permit: Class B Expiry Date:	
Fascia Off-premises Sign: 0	Freestanding Off-premises Sign: 0	
Fascia On-premises Sign: 0	Preestanding On-premises Sign: 1	
Roof Off-premises Sign: 0	Projecting Off-premises Sign: 0	
Roof On-premises Sign: 0	Projecting On-premises Sign: 0	
Minor Digital On-premises Sign: 0	Replacement Panel on Existing Sign: 0	
Minor Digital Off-premises Sign: 0	Comprehensive Sign Design: 0	
Minor Digital On/Off-premises Sign: 0	Major Digital Sign: 0	
Development Application Decision Refused Issue Date: Aug 06, 2021 Develo	Authority:MERCIER, KELSEY	
Reason for Refusal	height for Freestanding On-premises Signs shall be 1.8 m;	
Proposed: 5.49 m Exceeds by: 3.69 m		
Under the Zoning By-law the I	pment Officer does not have the authority to vary Height.	
2. Section 59B.3(1)(d) -the ma	Area for any Freestanding On-premises Sign shall not exceed 3 m2;	
Proposed: 89 m2 Exceeds by: 86 m2		
The variance unduly interfere or value of neighbouring prop	e amenities of the neighbourhood and/or materially interfere with or affect the use, e	enjoyment,
	THIS IS NOT A PERMIT	

AFTER THE date ON which THE decision IS made AS outlined IN Chapter M-26, SECTION 683 THROUGH 689 OF THE Municipal Government Act.	Edmonton	I	Application	for	Project Number: 393269073-0 Application Date: APR 23, 20 Printed: August 6, 2021 at 1:57 Page: 2 c			
Rights of Appeal THE Applicant has THE RIGHT OF appeal TO THE Subdivision AND Development Appeal Board (SDAB) WITHIN 21 day AFTER THE date ON which THE decision IS made AS outlined IN Chapter M-26, SECTION 683 THROUGH 689 OF THE Municipal Government Act. Fees Fee Amount Amount Paid Receipt # Date Paid Sign Development Application Fee \$375.00 \$375.00 08905115 Apr 30, 2021 Existing Without Dev Permit Penalty \$375.00 \$375.00 \$375.00 Total GST Amount: \$0.00 \$375.00 \$375.00			Sign Perr	nit				
Fee Amount Amount Paid Receipt # Date Paid Sign Development Application Fee \$375.00 \$375.00 06995115 Apr 30, 2021 Existing Without Dev Permit Penalty Fee \$375.00 \$375.00 06995115 Apr 30, 2021 Total GST Amount: \$0.00 \$375.00 \$375.00 Totals for Permit: \$750.00 \$375.00	Rights of Appeal THE Applicant has THE RIGHT OF appeal TO THE Subdivision AND Development Appeal Board (SDAB) WITHIN 21 days AFTER THE date ON which THE decision IS made AS outlined IN Chapter M-26,							
Sign Development Application Fee\$375.00\$375.00000005115Apr 30, 2021Existing Without Dev Permit Penalty\$375.00\$375.00Total GST Amount:\$0.00Fee\$0.00\$375.00\$375.00Totals for Permit:\$750.00\$375.00	ees							
Existing Without Dev Permit Penalty \$375.00 Fee Total GST Amount: \$0.00 Totals for Permit: \$750.00 \$375.00		Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid			
Total GST Amount: \$0.00 Totals for Permit: \$750.00 \$375.00	Existing Without Dev Permit Penalty		\$375.00	06995115	Apr 30, 2021			
		\$0.00						
(\$375.00 outstaading)	Totals for Permit:	\$750.00	\$375.00					
	(\$375.00 outstanding)							
THIS IS NOT A PERMIT			THIS IS NOT A PE	RMIT				





ITEM III: 1:30 P.M.

AN APPEAL FROM THE DECIS	ION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
APPELLANT:	
APPLICATION NO .:	386056469-002
APPLICATION TO:	Construct a (side and top floor) addition to an existing Accessory building (detached Garage, 12.95 metres by 7.32 metres at 6.4 metres in height)
DECISION OF THE	
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:	Refused
DECISION DATE:	August 10, 2021
DATE OF APPEAL:	August 30, 2021
MUNICIPAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:	10515 - 140 Street NW
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Plan 3875P Blk 67 Lot 17
ZONE:	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone
OVERLAY:	Mature Neighbourhood Overlay
STATUTORY PLAN:	N/A

Grounds for Appeal

The Appellant provided the following reasons for appealing the decision of the Development Authority:

I would like to appeal the DP refusal.

The homeowner is looking to have more room in the garage for storage of vehicles, addition of vehicle lift and storage of outdoor items.

The homeowner is having a particular problem with theft. The inner city theft is on the rise and out of control. She would like to be able to have room to put all her outdoor products in the garage.

The area above the garage is for storage she has no desire for a functional suite.

The vehicle lift requires overhead space to lift the vehicle in the area. The south existing space on the lot is not being used for anything and she would like to extend the garage to use the space.

Letters from neighbors that do not have issue with the garage will be provided upon request.

The homeowner is not fixed on the existing design and is flexible but ultimately requires more space for storage of items

The homeowner understands the existing site coverage max and is looking to exceed.

the homeowner does not understand how the project is refused on height when there are many garages in the city that have space above their garages.

The homeowners primary focus have it look nice and match the house and to respect the privacy of the neighbors.

General Matters

Appeal Information:

The Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26 states the following:

Grounds for Appeal

685(1) If a development authority

- (a) fails or refuses to issue a development permit to a person,
- (b) issues a development permit subject to conditions, or
- (c) issues an order under section 645,

the person applying for the permit or affected by the order under section 645 may appeal to the subdivision and development appeal board.

•••

(2) In addition to an applicant under subsection (1), any person affected by an order, decision or development permit made or issued by a development authority may appeal the decision in accordance with subsection (2.1).

Appeals

686(1) A development appeal to a subdivision and development appeal board is commenced by filing a notice of the appeal, containing reasons, with the board,

- (a) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(1)
 - (i) with respect to an application for a development permit,
 - (A) within 21 days after the date on which the written decision is given under section 642, or
 - (B) if no decision is made with respect to the application within the 40-day period, or within any extension of that period under section 684, within 21 days after the date the period or extension expires,
 - or
 - (ii) with respect to an order under section 645, within 21 days after the date on which the order is made, or
- (b) in the case of an appeal made by a person referred to in section 685(2), within 21 days after the date on which the notice of the issuance of the permit was given in accordance with the land use bylaw.

Hearing and Decision

687(3) In determining an appeal, the board hearing the appeal referred to in subsection (1)

•••

- (a.1) must comply with the land use policies;
- (a.2) subject to section 638, must comply with any applicable statutory plans;
- (a.3) subject to clause (a.4) and (d), must comply with any land use bylaw in effect;

- (a.4) must comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations under the *Gaming, Liquor and Cannabis Act* respecting the location of premises described in a cannabis licence and distances between those premises and other premises;
 - •••
 - (c) may confirm, revoke or vary the order, decision or development permit or any condition attached to any of them or make or substitute an order, decision or permit of its own;
 - (d) may make an order or decision or issue or confirm the issue of a development permit even though the proposed development does not comply with the land use bylaw if, in its opinion,
 - (i) the proposed development would not
 - (A) unduly interfere with the amenities of the neighbourhood, or
 - (B) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land,

and

(ii) the proposed development conforms with the use prescribed for that land or building in the land use bylaw.

General Provisions from the *Edmonton Zoning Bylaw*:

Under section 110.2(7), Single Detached Housing is a Permitted Use in the (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone.

Under section 6.1, **Accessory** means "when used to describe a Use or building, a Use or building naturally or normally incidental, subordinate, and devoted to the principal Use or building, and located on the same lot or Site."

Under section 6.1, **Garage** means "an Accessory building, or part of a principal building designed and used primarily for the storage of motor vehicles and includes a carport."

Section 110.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone** is "to provide for Single Detached Housing while allowing other forms of small scale housing in the form of Secondary Suites, Garden Suites, Semi-detached Housing and Duplex Housing."

Section 814.1 states that the **General Purpose** of the **Mature Neighbourhood Overlay** is:

to regulate residential development in Edmonton's mature residential neighbourhoods, while responding to the context of surrounding development, maintaining the pedestrian-oriented design of the streetscape, and to provide an opportunity for consultation by gathering input from affected parties on the impact of a proposed variance to the Overlay regulations.

Height

Section 50.3(3) states "an Accessory building or structure shall not exceed 4.3 m in Height."

Under section 6.1, Height means "a vertical distance between two points."

Development Officers Determination

1. Height - The garage shall not exceed 4.3m in Height (Section 50.3.3). Maximum: 4.3m Proposed: 6.7m Exceeds by 2.4m

[unedited]

Site Coverage

Section 110.4(6)(a) states: Maximum Site Coverage shall be as follows:

	Principal Dwelling/ building	Accessory building	Principal building with attached Garage	Total Site Coverage
a. Single Detached Housing - Site greater than 300 m2	28%	12%	40%	40%

Under section 6.1, Site Coverage means:

the total horizontal area of all buildings or structures on a Site which are located at or higher than 1.8 m above Grade, including Accessory buildings or Structures, calculated by perpendicular projection onto a horizontal plane from one point located at an infinite distance above all buildings and structures on the Site. This definition shall not include:

- a. steps, eaves, cornices, and similar projections;
- b. driveways, aisles and parking lots unless they are part of a Parking Garage which extends 1.0 m or more above Grade; or
- c. unenclosed inner and outer courts, terraces and patios where these are less than 1.8 m above Grade;

Development Officers Determination

2. Site Coverage - The site coverage of all accessory buildings shall not exceed 12% (Section 110.4.6.a). Maximum: 12% Proposed: 14.6% Exceeds by 2.6%

[unedited]

Notice to Applicant/Appellant

Provincial legislation requires that the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board issue its official decision in writing within fifteen days of the conclusion of the hearing.

				Project Number: 3860 Application Date:	FEB 08, 2021
Edmonton	1	Application	for	Printed: August 10, 2 Page:	021 at 1:20 PM 1 of
		Addition Pe			
This document is a Development l	Permit Decision for t	he development applic	ation described below	N.	
Applicant		Pro	perty Address(es) a	and Legal Description(s)	
			10515 - 140 STREE	TNW	
			Plan 3875P Bl	c 67 Lot 17	
		Lo	ation(s) of Work		
		Suite	10515 - 140 5	TREET NW	
		Entry	way: 10515 - 140 S	TREET NW	
		Buil	ling: 10515 - 140 S	TREET NW	
Scope of Application					
To construct a (side and top) Permit Details	floor) addition to an e	existing Accessory bui	lding (detached Gara	ge, 12.95m x 7.32m @ 6.4m in	height).
Fermit Details					
Class Of Permit: Class B		Site	Area (sq. m.): 650.67		
Stat. Plan Overlay/Annex Area: Ma Overlay	nure Neighbourhood				
Development Application Decis	ion				
Refused					
Issue Date: Aug 10, 2021 1	Development Autho	rity:NICHOLAS, CA	ROLYN		
Reason for Refusal					
1. Height - The garage s	hall not exceed 4.3m	in Height (Section 50	3.3).		
Maximum: 4.3m Proposed: 6.7m					
Exceeds by 2.4m					
2. Site Coverage - The s	ite coverage of all ac	cessory buildings shal	not exceed 12% (Se	ection 110.4.6.a).	
Maximum: 12%					
Proposed: 14.6% Exceeds by 2.6%					
Exceeds by 2.0%					
Rights of Appeal					
			pment Appeal Board	(SDAB) within 21 days after th	ie date on
which the decision is ma Section 683 through 6					
Building Permit Decision					
No decision has yet been ma	de.				
,,					
Fees					
	Fee Amount	Amount Paid	Receipt #	Date Paid	
	\$433.00	\$433.00 0	5799807072F001	Feb 08, 2021	
Development Application Fee	\$0.00				
Total GST Amount:					
	\$433.00	\$433.00			
Total GST Amount:	\$433.00	\$435.00			



